1	<u>RPTS JOHNSON</u>
2	DCMN SECKMAN
3	
4	
5	COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND
6	GOVERNMENT REFORM,
7	U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
8	WASHINGTON, D.C.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	INTERVIEW OF: KEN MEHLMAN
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Wednesday, September 5, 2007
19	
20	Washington, D.C.
21	
22	
23	The interview in the above matter was held at 2157
24	Rayburn Lounge, commencing at 10:03 a.m.
25	

1	<u>Appearances:</u>
2	
3	
4	For KEN MEHLMAN:
5	
6	STEVEN R. ROSS
7	Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld LLP
8	Robert S. Strauss Building
9	1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
10	Washington, D.C, 20036-1564
11	
12	
13	For COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM:
14	
15	KRISTIN AMERLING, CHIEF COUNSEL
16	SUSANNE SACHSMAN, COUNSEL
17	MICHAEL GORDON, SENIOR INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
18	DAVID LEVISS, INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
19	DAVID RAPALLO, CHIEF INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
20	PHIL BARNETT, STAFF DIRECTOR
21	ANNA LAITIN, PROFESSIONAL STAFF MEMBER
22	JENNIFER SAFAVIAN, MINORITY CHIEF COUNSEL
23	J. KEITH AUSBROOK, MINORITY GENERAL COUNSEL
24	STEPHEN R. CASTOR, MINORITY COUNSEL
25	ASHLEY CALLEN, MINORITY COUNSEL

- 1 Ms. <u>Amerling.</u> Let me start by thanking you, on behalf 2 of the Committee, for appearing today, Mr. Mehlman. My name 3 is Kristin Amerling. I am chief counsel for the Committee on 4 Oversight and Government Reform. And I am accompanied here
- today by several members of the Committee staff. Why don't
- 6 we all identify ourselves here for the record?
- 7 Ms. <u>Laitin</u>. Anna Laitin, professional staff member.
- 8 Ms. <u>Sachsman.</u> I am Susanne Sachsman. I am counsel.
- 9 Mr. <u>Gordon</u>. Michael Gordon, counsel for the majority staff.
- Mr. <u>Leviss</u>. David Leviss, counsel with the majority staff.
- 13 Mr. <u>Rapallo</u>. Dave Rapallo with the majority staff.
- Mr. <u>Barnett</u>. I am Phil Barnett, staff director on the majority staff.
- Ms. <u>Safavian</u>. And Jennifer Safavian with the Republican staff.
- 18 Mr. <u>Castor</u>. Steve Castor with the Republican staff.
- 19 Mr. <u>Ausbrook</u>. Keith Ausbrook, general counsel,
- 20 Republican staff.
- 21 Ms. <u>Callen</u>. Ashley Callen of the Republican staff.
- Ms. <u>Amerling.</u> And Mr. Mehlman, would you please state your full name for the record.
- 24 Mr. <u>Mehlman</u>. Kenneth Brian Mehlman.
- Ms. Amerling. And today you are accompanied by counsel.

- 1 Mr. Mehlman. Steve Ross.
- 2 Mr. <u>Ross.</u> Steven Ross.

Ms. Amerling. Thank you. This interview of Mr. Mehlman is part of the Committee's investigation of the use of non-governmental e-mail accounts by White House officials for official business, as well as the Committee's investigation of political briefings given to Federal agencies. And the Committee's investigation of whether Federal agency officials conducted travel for the benefit of Republican political candidates for office. I want to note that the Committee also has a number of questions for Mr. Mehlman relating to the Committee's investigation of contacts between former lobbyist Jack Abramoff and the White House.

However, we understand from Mr. Mehlman's counsel that you are aware of a Committee document request to the White House, and that you have a preference to address questions relating to the subject matter of Mr. Abramoff after the White House has produced responsive documents. And the Committee is accommodating that request at this point based on the assumption that the production will occur within this month and that Mr. Mehlman will return to answer further questions.

Mr. <u>Ross.</u> As you and I have talked on a number of occasions, it has been our hope and desire to be able to cover all of the subject matters -- indeed the

1	Abramoff-related subject matter was the first that you had
2	contacted us on in one session but that as we both spoke
3	that it was likely that once the White House makes its
4	production, there might well be questions, additional
5	questions. And since we would not be able to assure
6	ourselves that the entire subject matter could be completed
7	before the production was made, that we had requested and you
8	had agreed, to sort of defer questions on the Abramoff matter
9	until after you have received the White House production.
10	And we will be happy to arrange for either another interview
11	session or continuation of this interview to accommodate
12	that.
13	Ms. Amerling. Great. Let me go over the ground rules

Ms. Amerling. Great. Let me go over the ground rules for this interview. The majority will ask questions first, and then we will alternate by subject matter with the minority. If the minority desires to ask other questions in its round beyond the subject matter that the majority addressed in the initial round, the minority is welcome to do so. An official reporter will be taking down everything that we say. So, Mr. Mehlman, you need to give verbal, audible responses. Do you understand that?

Mr. <u>Mehlman.</u> Yes.

Ms. <u>Amerling.</u> You are required to answer questions from Congress truthfully. Is there any reason why you can't answer questions truthfully today?

1 Mr. Mehlman. There is not. No.

2 Mr. <u>Ross.</u> Before you start, if I could just take care of one more housekeeping matter.

Ms. <u>Amerling.</u> Sure.

Mr. Ross. Yesterday, as counsel for Mr. Mehlman, I received a letter from the White House Counsel, expressing the view that, one, that they would have preferred to have been able to attend the interview, a matter that you and I had spoken about earlier, in order to protect whatever Presidential institutional interests might be implicated during the course of the interview. Their request had not been honored or accommodated for them to attend these interviews. They have sent me a letter asking that if there are questions that are posed that would appear to implicate Presidential privileges, that we consult with them prior to making a determination whether or not to answer those questions. I don't know whether any such questions will come up, but we will deal with them as they do.

As I had indicated in earlier conversations, you know, we do not view it as the responsibility of Mr. Mehlman or his private counsel to either advocate on behalf of or preserve the President's prerogatives or to be in a position to be forced into a position of, in essence, making a determination on the different claims that might be made between the Congress and the executive branch on those. I am hopeful

1	that we are able to get through today without that being
2	implicated. If there are questions that in our view do go to
3	the core of that privilege, we will seek to consult with them
4	and sort of let the two branches figure out how to proceed.
5	Ms. <u>Amerling.</u> We understand.
6	Mr. Ross. And I had given you a copy of the letter. Do
7	you want to make a copy a part of the record? I know you are
8	making a transcript, even though this is an interview. That
9	is up to you.
10	Ms. Amerling. If you would like it part of the record,
11	we would be glad to end enter it into the record.
12	Mr. <u>Ross.</u> Why don't we do that.
13	Ms. Amerling. We will enter this letter into the record
14	as Exhibit 1.
15	[Mehlman Exhibit No. 1
16	Was marked for identification.]
17	Mr. <u>Ausbrook.</u> Could I make a comment about the
18	procedure that you described?
19	Ms. <u>Amerling.</u> Sure.
20	Mr. <u>Ausbrook.</u> And that is that yesterday you and I had
21	a discussion about the procedure of this, and that procedure
22	was that you thought you might go more than an hour on each
23	subject, and there were two subjects you were going to cover,
24	essentially and that to you it did not make sense to stop if
25	you had not completed a subject. We discussed that and

agreed at that time that we would stop at an hour, see where you were, see if there were things that we wanted to get to, and were important for us do, and then if we wanted to proceed with our questioning after an hour we could do so.

That was my understanding of it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This morning you and I talked again, and you have changed your position. I had communicated that procedure, by the way, not only to our own staff, but also to counsel for Mr. Mehlman, that each side would be probably at least potentially limited to an hour. This morning in our discussion you concluded that you would want to proceed if you had to go past an hour. We discussed whether the -- what benefit there would be to us to starting after an hour, and that is, that means we don't have to wait as long to get to the subjects we want to discuss, ask questions, remember what was asked. The same policies that are implicated in the deposition rule that limits questioning by each side to 60 minutes. And my understanding of our agreement this morning is now that instead of presumptively stopping at an hour, that we will stop after an hour, but if you all want to keep questioning then you will proceed to do so, which I guess is your prerogative. Is that a fair statement?

Ms. Amerling. It's fair that it's our understanding that we will proceed by subject matter, and that, after an hour, we would be glad to discuss with you where we are and

whether we have a substantial number of questions on that subject remaining, and where it makes sense to go from there.

I also want to note that we have had substantial communications, both with the counsel for the witness, as well as with the minority in advance of this interview, providing notice about the timing of the interview, the subject matter, making accommodations based on issues raised by the witness about scheduling and about the anticipated document production of which the witness was aware. And I think we are proceeding in a fair manner here. Minority will have ample opportunity to ask Mr. Mehlman all the questions that the minority seeks to ask Mr. Mehlman. And this is consistent with the way we have conducted interviews in the past.

Mr. <u>Ausbrook</u>. Well, it's not actually consistent with the way all interviews have been conducted. They have all been conducted differently, and there isn't a consistent practice. I want that on the record. Some of them have been by topic; some of them have been by time. And that's one of the problems that we have when we have interviews for which there are no real rules, even though we are trying to have similar rules to the rules of depositions.

And we certainly would like to have a serious discussion after an hour about how much longer you are going to take and a consideration of whether it is fair to us to make us wait

1	20, 30, 40 minutes longer to ask questions that were asked at
2	that point an hour and 20, an hour and 30, an hour and
3	40 minutes ago.
4	Ms. Amerling. Okay. Your concerns are noted.
5	Mr. <u>Ausbrook.</u> Thank you.
6	Ms. Amerling. Let's turn to the subject of the use of
7	White House officials of non-governmental e-mail accounts for
8	official business.
9	EXAMINATION
10	BY MS. AMERLING:
11	Q Can you start by briefly describing your position
12	at the White House and the time frame when you were there?
13	A I was, from the time of the President's
14	inauguration in 2001 until I believe it was March of 2003,
15	the director of the Office of Political Affairs and the
16	deputy assistant to the President.
17	Q And to whom did you report in that position?
18	A I reported to Karl Rove, who was the senior advise
19	to the President. And by definition, all staff reports to
20	Andy Card, who is the chief of staff.
21	Q And what position did you serve in subsequent to
22	that position?
23	A I became the campaign manager for the reelection
24	campaign from March of and I am 90 percent sure it was
25	March March of 2003 until reelection in November of 2004.

- 1 And then I, in January of 2005, was elected RNC Chairman for 2 a term, which is a 2-year term, so I was Chairman from 3 January of 2005 to January of 2007. 4 Q And where are you currently employed? 5 I am at Akin Gump. And I became a civilian. Α 6 Now, while you served at the White House, did you Q 7 have an official White House e-mail address? 8 I did. Α 9 Q And what was that address? 10 I think it was KMehlman@who.eop.gov, but if you did Α 11 Kenneth B Mehlman, you probably got the same thing. 12 the e-mails, my experience, they have it both ways. 13 Q And when were you first provided this address? 14 When you started. I mean, was it day one? If Α 15 that's your question, I think there was a period between when we got in to when we started that there had been, as you know 16 17 well, documented e-mail issues. The e-mail system did not 18 start day one, but it was relatively soon after that. 19 And when did you first begin using this account? Q Α As soon as we got it.
- 20
- 21 Q And how were you able to access this account?
- 22 Via my desktop computer. Α
- And was this a computer that was provided to you by 23 Q 24 the White House?
- 25 Α M-hm. Yes. Sorry about that.

1	Q	And did the White House provide you with a
2	BlackBerry	or a laptop?
3	Α	The White House did not.
4	Q	And while you were at the White House, did you hold
5	an e-mail	account or accounts provided to you by the RNC?
6	Α	I did.
7	Q	And what was that e-mail account address?
8	Α	Well, it was KMehlman@GeorgeWBush.com.
9	Q	And did you hold more than one account provided by
10	the RNC o	r was it just that one?
11	Α	It was just that one.
12	Q	And when was this account first provided to you?
13	Α	Sometime in that first 2- to 3-month period as well
14	is when i	t was provided.
15	Q	And who at the RNC provided it to you?
16	Α	What individual brought it over? I assume the IT
17	person.	
18	Q	Do you remember who set it up?
19	Α	No.
20	Q	Do you remember who at the RNC approved the
21	provision	of this account to you?
22	Α	My understanding was it was something that was
23	discussed	between the counsel at the White House and the
24	counsel a	t the RNC, which would have been Tom Josefiak, who

was the RNC Counsel. Ultimately, obviously, those were

- 1 approved by the, I assume, the chief of staff or the
- deputy -- at this time Deputy Chairman of the RNC, Jack
- Oliver probably would have ultimately approved it as the
- 4 person who was in charge of the building.
- Q And did you send e-mails from the White House using
- 6 this RNC account?
- 7 A Sometimes.
- 8 Q And how were you able to access this account from
- 9 inside the White House?
- 10 A Through a laptop and also a BlackBerry.
- 11 Q And was this laptop your -- was this laptop
- 12 provided by the RNC?
- 13 A It was.
- 14 Q And was the BlackBerry provided by the RNC?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And when did the RNC provide each of those pieces
- to you?
- 18 A The laptop, as I recall, came before the
- 19 BlackBerry. Remember in January, February of 2001.
- 20 Blackberrys were not as pervasive as they are today. And we
- got them relatively quickly after then, but I don't remember
- the month that it came. I remember I was anxious for one.
- Q January, February, 2001?
- 24 A Yeah. Was when the laptop came. And then the
- 25 BlackBerry came sometime not long after that. But the laptop

1 was also provided by the RNC. 2 And could you access your RNC e-mail account from 0 3 your White House computer? 4 Α No. No. 5 Q And while you were at the White House, did you hold an e-mail account provided to you by the Bush-Cheney 6 7 campaign? 8 No, because there wasn't a Bush-Cheney campaign. The Bush-Cheney campaign had ended after the 2000 election. 9 10 Q And do you remember who at the RNC provided you 11 with your RNC BlackBerry? 12 Α You mean, what person? 13 0 Yes. 14 Α Again, I am assuming it was the IT person. 15 0 Do you remember who gave you instructions on -- did 16 you receive instructions on how to use it? 17 Α I don't recall whether -- I am sure I was, but I don't recall that particular session. 18 19 Q And did you use the BlackBerry from within the 20 White House? 21 I mean, I used it everywhere. 22 0 Did you ever communicate on your BlackBerry by 23 using your BlackBerry PIN code instead of the e-mail account? 24 No. Not that I recall. And I have to say if I 25 did, it was unintentional, because I am not that good at

- 1 using the BlackBerry PIN code.
- Q Did anyone ever tell you that using the BlackBerry
- 3 PIN code would not leave a permanent record of communication?
- 4 A No.
- Q Did you send e-mail from the White House on any other non-governmental accounts?
- 7 A No.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q How did you get the idea to use an RNC e-mail account from within the White House?

Well, there were a number of things that affected the decision to provide RNC e-mail accounts. One was the discussion that occurred between the White House Counsel's Office and previous White House Counsel, and also the RNC Counsel and colleagues within the RNC's -- within the White House Counsel's Office. Second of all, I was informed also by my -- the fact that I had worked on the Hill from 1994 until 1999, and as you know, in both parties, there are people who are working on the Hill in official capacities at taxpayer expense who also have political e-mail accounts and have political cell phones, which was the issue back in the 1990s because it was kind of pre-BlackBerry days. So as we were starting off, when the White House Counsel came to me and said, we think you should have RNC-provided equipment for political activity, that was consistent with the experience I had seen on both sides on the Hill.

1		Q	So yo	our un	derstandi	ng	is	that	the	idea	origin	ated
2	from	the	White	House	Counsel'	s 0	ffi	ce?				

A My understanding was that the White House Counsel raised the concept, and certainly with me they mentioned that they were thinking about it. And it sounded like a good idea to me, and it was consistent with the experience I had known up on Capitol Hill.

Q So when you were thinking about sending an e-mail --

A Yes.

Q -- what was the criteria you used for deciding whether to send the e-mail over your RNC account versus your White House account?

A Well, obviously to the extent to which you are sending it from anywhere but your office, you are sending it over the RNC account, because we don't have BlackBerrys that are official. We have only RNC BlackBerrys. So you are sending it on what you have. But we had, early on, had a fair amount of discussion with the Counsel's Office.

Obviously, we knew, as folks up here are, that you are subject to essentially, one, the Hatch Act, and not just the letter of the Hatch Act, but the spirit of it. Because the letter by definition doesn't apply to the Political Affairs Office of the White House. But the spirit of it, which is official resources for political purposes is subject to

- 1 significant rules and regulations. So you have to think 2 about that. And then you also have to think about the issue 3 of the Presidential Records Act and the rules that apply 4 there. And so for all those reasons you are kind of thinking 5 about both things as you made decisions. 6 And how often did you use your political e-mail 0 7 account? 8 Again, part of it depended on where I was. If I Α
 - A Again, part of it depended on where I was. If I wasn't in the office, I would always use it, because I didn't have a BlackBerry that was official in the beginning the way we had the political.
 - Q So if you weren't in the office and you needed to communicate over e-mail about an official matter, you would use your RNC BlackBerry?
- 15 A That's -- yes.

10

11

12

13

- Q And you would use your RNC e-mail account?
- 17 A Yes. Because the BlackBerry was RNC.
- 18 Q And how often were you in that situation?
- 19 A I mean, you know, obviously, whenever you are at 20 home, whenever you are not in the office.
- Q Was that frequently?
- 22 A Sure. You also --
- Q Would you say that was daily?
- 24 A Yeah.
- 25 Q You mentioned that the White House Counsel raised

1	the idea of using the political accounts. Do you know who i	n
2	the White House Counsel's Office raised this idea?	

A The person I dealt with in the White House Counsel's Office was Brett Kavanaugh. But whether he internally raised it within the White House Counsel, I can't answer, because I wasn't part of those discussions. But Brett was the person that was kind of our point person in the beginning who we dealt with. And he was the person I would regularly consult with and talk with.

Q Was he the point person on this for all White House officials who used RNC e-mail accounts?

A He was the point person for a lot of the political, making sure that the political activity was done in a way that was appropriate and consistent with the rules and the laws.

Q And do you have any knowledge about other members of the White House Counsel's Office who were involved in this matter?

A No, I don't, because that was their internal discussion. I mean, obviously, he reported to Tim Flanagan and reported to Al Gonzales, and certainly talked to them about the issues, but I was not in the course of those discussions usually.

Q Do you know whether officials from the RNC were involved in the discussions about whether to set up RNC

1	accounts?
2	A I don't know. I don't know. I can't answer that
3	question. I know that when I was at the RNC, our counsel
4	would on occasion discuss things with the White House
5	Counsel, but I can't answer what occurred in 2001. I am
6	assuming that that conversation occurred.
7	Q You don't have any knowledge of RNC participation
8	in that discussion?
9	A I was not part of that discussion. No, I do not.
10	No.
11	Q Did your colleagues use RNC e-mail accounts from
12	within the White House?
13	A All of the all of the as I recall, all of the
14	people that worked in the OPA office, the political office,
15	had RNC laptops and RNC BlackBerrys. There were a couple of
16	them were junior staff that, as I recall, did not have and
17	again this is a little bit fuzzy I remember in the
18	beginning not everyone had BlackBerrys, and this was a source
19	of some angst for those that did not.
20	Q And did you see your colleagues using these RNC
21	e-mail accounts?
22	Mr. Ross. By colleagues, you mean colleagues at OPA?
23	Ms. Amerling. Colleagues at OPA, yes.
24	Mr. <u>Mehlman.</u> Sure?
25	BY MS. AMERLING:

1	Q Frequently?
2	A Yeah.
3	Q During your employment at the White House, did
4	anyone ever tell you that e-mails sent and received over the
5	RNC e-mail accounts were periodically purged?
6	A I don't recall that discussion occurring while I
7	was at the White House.
8	Q Do you recall ever hearing that?
9	A Well, I was RNC Chairman, and certainly as chairman
10	I knew about our policy. And when the Bush campaign was
11	established, similarly I was consulted about what our
12	campaign policy would be with respect to maintaining e-mails.
13	Q When did you first become aware that RNC e-mails
14	were periodically purged?
15	A RNC e-mails? I would think probably I became aware
16	of it probably when I was RNC Chairman. When I was with the
17	campaign
18	Q When you first became Chairman?
19	A Yeah.
20	Q Do you remember how you became aware of that?
21	A I believe it was a conversation with the counsel,
22	Tom Josefiak. But as I said, when I was with the campaign we
23	had to establish a policy, too. Tom Josefiak left the RNC
24	and became the campaign counsel in 2003. So he might have
25	brought that up then. I just don't recall it there, just

1 focusing on it there. 2 And when you had the conversation when you first became RNC Chairman --3 4 Α Yeah. 5 Q -- which informed you --6 Α Yeah. -- that e-mails were periodically purged --7 Q 8 Α Yeah. -- did you follow-up with anyone at the White House 9 Q to inform them of this practice? 10 11 Α No. Are you aware -- did you ask anybody else to follow 12 0 up with the White House? 13 No, I didn't think anything about the White House 14 when I was with public policy. 15 16 Q And if you moved to the Bush campaign in spring of 2003 --17 Yes. 18 Α -- did you learn early on in your time as campaign 19 manager that there was a purge policy with respect to 20 campaign e-mails? 21 We established that policy. 22 Α You established it? 23 Q Well, the campaign established it. The counsel 24

recommended such a policy.

1 Q	And why	was that	policy	recommended?
-----	---------	----------	--------	--------------

Well, it was two reasons. One is simply so that your server doesn't come crashing down or have to be outrageously huge. And second of all, it is an efficiency issue, which is that, in my experience, which I believe strongly, is that people let to let that stuff clog up and not get their work done. And he felt that was an appropriate system to have consistent with the systems he had before, and I thought it was a good way to, one, prevent the system from being all clogged up, and two, get people to do their job and not leave work on their e-mails.

- Q So you approved this policy of periodically purging e-mails --
 - A Yeah. I mean, I recall being informed.
- Q -- for the Bush campaign?

- A I was campaign manager, so, by definition, all policies I am responsible for. But yeah, he told me this is the policy, and I said that was an appropriate policy.
 - Q In your discussions of this purge policy while you were at the Bush-Cheney campaign, did you discuss the issue of the fact that several White House officials communicated over Bush-Cheney accounts?

A We did not discuss that during the course of that, because it's my understanding that we did not -- my understanding is that the -- that those accounts were

maintained by the RNC, not by the campaign.

1

2

3

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And why was counsel involved with making IT-related decisions?

Well, counsel -- first of all, I involve counsel in 4 everything. I think that's the way -- I had two counsel. 5 had Tom and also Ben Ginsberg, and then the people under 6 So any policy you are setting up, whether it's a 7 8 personnel policy, whether it's an IT policy, whether it's a 9 record maintenance, obviously there is a campaign audit that occurs after the campaign. And so everything we did I wanted 10 to make sure was done consistently with that approach. 11 obviously it worked. I think the Bush campaign is the first 12 campaign in modern history to have not gotten fined anything 13 for our operations in 2004. So that's something I am pretty 14 proud of. And part of why that happened I think was that we 15 were very obsessive about getting Tom involved in everything 16 we did. 17

Q Now, when you were at the White House, did you ever discuss with your colleagues the preservation of e-mails?

A The preservation of -- no. I recall that discussion occurring via the White House Counsel's Office, so the answer is, yes, but I recall not leading that discussion, but having what I would call the experts leading that discussion.

Q And when did that discussion occur?

1	A Well, relatively early in the administration we
2	were briefed with respect to all of the policies. And
3	obviously when the RNC e-mails were set up, we were briefed
4	with respect to the various policies, which included the
5	Hatch Act and also the records maintenance rules.
6	Q And when you say we discussed this, was there a
7	briefing that was going on?
8	A I recall discussions both with the White House
9	Counsel individually, and then briefings that occurred via
10	the White House Counsel's following those various
11	discussions.
12	Q And when you had the individual discussions with
13	whom were you talking in
14	A Usually, typically it was Brett Kavanaugh.
15	Q Were your colleagues aware that no permanent record
16	was being created of e-mails sent on political accounts?
17	Mr. Ross. Again, colleagues at OPA?
18	Ms. Amerling. Colleagues at OPA.
19	Mr. Mehlman. I am not sure they when you say were
20	they aware
21	Mr. Ross. You are asking him whether are you asking
22	him what somebody else was aware of or not?
23	Ms. Amerling. Does he have any knowledge if his
24	colleagues were aware of this?
25	Mr Mehlman I am not certain whether they were aware

1 I know they were briefed like we were briefed on 2 generally these various rules, but what their particular 3 awareness was I can't speak to. BY MS. AMERLING: 4 So what percentage of your e-mail communications do 5 Q you think -- during your time at the White House -- were --6 7 Α That's a good question. -- official communications? 8 9 Well, when you say official, let me just make 10 something -- when you use the official White House 11 who.eop.gov, when you send an e-mail, it says, do you save 12 it, or do you opt out of saving it? So you could send a political e-mail on that official account and not save it, 13 14 It gives you that option. And the reason it gives you that option, my understanding is, and certainly my 15 16 understanding at the White House from counsel was because 17 political e-mails there are also not subject to the 18 Presidential Records Act. So if the question is, what 19 percentage of e-mails, I guess you are asking, are ones that 20 on both the official and political computer were e-mails that 21 were political in nature, I would say --22 Q That were official in nature. 23 Α Oh, were official in nature? 24 0 Yes.

This is a completely ballpark, rough estimate, so I

25

Α

```
1
       mean I am --
2
            Mr. Ross. How do you define official?
3
            Ms. Amerling. Concerned official business --
4
            Mr. Ross. Are you excluding --
5
            Ms. Amerling. -- of the government.
6
            Mr. Ross. Okay. But do you exclude everything that
7
       might also be political from being official?
8
            Ms. Amerling. I am interested in Mr. Mehlman's
9
       description of how he made that determination.
10
            Mr. Mehlman. Let me ask you a question. If the
11
       President goes in and does a rally for a candidate for U.S.
12
       Senate, would you call that official or political? It's part
13
       of his -- that's part of his schedule. Would you say -- you
       would say that was --
14
                  BY MS. AMERLING:
15
16
            Q
                  Would you call that official?
17
            Α
                  I would probably call that political.
18
            Q
                  Based on your understanding of what constituted
19
       political and what constituted official business, what
20
       percentage of the e-mails that you sent were official
21
       business?
22
                  I would say 20 percent. But -- not but, and my --
23
       I am defining it the way I just described it to you.
24
                  Okay. And what percentage of your e-mails were
25
       sent over non-governmental accounts?
```

1	A Oh, that's going to be a harder question. I bet
2	60 percent, simply because of the time factor. You know, if
3	you add up the time you are not in the office, you are
4	traveling, whatever, and you add up the fact that some of the
5	e-mails go to that e-mail account on the computer, I would
6	bet 60, 65 percent is what I bet.
7	Mr. Ross. But these obviously are estimates.
8	Mr. Mehlman. These are total ballpark estimates.
9	Ms. <u>Amerling.</u> I understand.
10	Mr. <u>Ross.</u> Right.
11	BY MS. AMERLING:
12	Q Now, you talked about how with some frequency you
13	needed to send e-mails over your RNC BlackBerry
14	A M-hm.
15	Q with some regularity because you weren't
16	necessarily always in the office. And that I believe you
17	said those communications from your RNC BlackBerry included
18	communications about official business. What steps did you
19	take to make sure that those communications would be
20	preserved?
21	A Well, what you would sometimes do was you would
22	e-mail your other account the information or sometimes you
23	would try to save it. At the same time, we had been briefed
24	early on that there was essentially two laws that, again,
25	while not contradictory, had in some sense a contradictory

1 purpose to them. One, the Hatch Act and the spirit of the 2 Hatch Act, and the other the Presidential Records Act, which 3 we were informed had a political exception and a reelection 4 exception to it. So we had both the desire to maintain 5 records for purposes of history and purposes of the 6 Presidential Records Act rules, which at the same time had two exceptions to it for a lot of the activity we did, and we 7 8 had -- the reason that we had these political accounts was to 9 make sure that we weren't using, even though the law 10 permitted us to, official taxpayer-funded resources for 11 political purposes. And the general rule that I recall was 12 told to us was that if you are not certain, it is better to 13 use the political as opposed to use the official. So we took 14 steps to try to save it, but it was not seen as a critical 15 imperative that we do it, partly because of the political 16 nature of most of what we did.

Q What steps did you take?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A As I said, sometimes you would send an e-mail to your other account, sometimes you would print it out and try to get it saved. But we were briefed -- I recall being briefed and being told that far more important than the -- that if you weren't sure, that the default position was not to use the official resources, and also given these exceptions to the Presidential Records Act.

Q And were you instructed when you received these

- instructions -- I assume that's from White House Counsel; is
 that correct?
- 3 A Yes.
- Q When they were telling you that the default when
 you weren't sure was to use your political account, were part
 of those instructions that you didn't therefore have to
 preserve?
- 8 A Yeah.
- Q So if there was a question or ambiguity about
 whether something fell in the category of political versus
 official and you made the determination that it was
 political, your understanding, your instructions were that
 you didn't have an obligation to --
- 14 A Right.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 15 Q -- preserve those?
- 16 A That's right.
 - Q And how did you get that understanding? Was that based on a briefing from White House Counsel?
 - A Based on conversations, briefings and conversations with White House Counsel, which as I said both at the campaign, with respect to your question about the e-mail accounts, and they were very frequent. I am a lawyer, and I think that I am most comfortable operating in an environment where I often ask questions about rules and know the rules of the road.

```
I want to go back to something you said about an
1
            0
       option that you had --
2
            Α
                  Yeah.
3
                  -- when you used your official e-mail.
4
            Q
            Α
                  Right.
5
                  I believe you said at the end of the e-mail you
6
       could either --
7
                  I think it's when you send it. I think when you
8
       send it. it -- and I don't recall this a hundred percent.
9
       It's either when you send it or when you delete it, it says
10
       preserve for Presidential records or not. There is an
11
       opt-in, opt-out type thing as I recall.
12
                  For every e-mail sent on your --
             0
13
14
             Α
                  I think so.
                  -- White House account?
15
             0
                  That's what I remember.
             Α
16
                  And was that system in place from the very
             Q
17
        beginning of your use of the account?
18
                  I think so.
             Α
19
                  And was that system in place for all White House
20
             0
21
        officials?
                  I assume. I didn't go around using other people's
22
        e-mails, but I am assuming it is. I certainly remember it on
23
24
        my computer.
```

So if you -- was the default that it would be

25

Q

- preserved if you didn't check a box, or did you have to
 proactively --
- A I think the default was it would be preserved.
- That's what I remember. I believe you had to check a box for it not to be preserved.
 - Q And what was the rationale for having that option?

A That there were certain activities that occurred -there are certain activities that you -- that human beings -there are two answers. One is, our office was unique. For
the average person at the White House, you know, you send an
e-mail on a personal issue that doesn't need to be preserved
for Presidential records. So you are saying to your friends,
I will meet you for dinner later. That is not a Presidential
record, doesn't preserve a Presidential record. At the
political office, an additional reason for that was the fact
that Presidential Records Act, as I recall, was not

Mr. <u>Ross.</u> Just to clarify, you asked -- are you looking for Ken's understanding of the rationale? This is obviously not an IT system that --

- Mr. <u>Mehlman.</u> Right.
- 22 Mr. <u>Ross.</u> -- that he created, but one that the White 23 House had.

applicable to political and to reelection.

- 24 Ms. <u>Amerling.</u> Sure.
- 25 Mr. Ross. Okay.

1	BY MS. AMERLING:
2	Q So you mentioned briefings that you received by the
3	White House Counsel. You think that there was a briefing
4	early on and maybe an individual communication about
5	A There were, I am sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt
6	you.
7	Q about the use of e-mails.
8	A M-hm.
9	Q And did this briefing cover use of both White House
10	provided e-mail accounts as well as any type of
11	non-governmental e-mail account?
12	A I don't remember the answer to that question. I
13	mean, I don't remember I don't remember enough about the
14	briefing to tell you whether they briefed you on, you know,
15	use of RNC e-mails.
16	Q Did you ever instruct other staff at the White
17	House about the appropriate use of political e-mail accounts?
18	A I don't recall making that instruction. I recall
19	that all of the instruction that I recall was done by
20	counsel.
21	Q Now, who at the White House was responsible for
22	determining whether a White House staff person got a
23	BlackBerry?
24	A Well, initially you are talking about the
25	official BlackBerrys?

- 1 Q Let's start with official BlackBerrys.
- 2 A I think that was a determination that was probably
- 3 made -- the chief of staff's office, somebody in there made
- 4 those determinations.
- 5 Q Do you know who?
- 6 A I do not know who. I do not know who.
- 7 Q And did that same office make the determinations
- 8 about who at the White House would receive other hardware,
- 9 computer hardware?
- 10 A They would sign off on it is my understanding.
- 11 Q And when you were political director at the White
- 12 House --
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q -- did you ever ask for a political -- I mean, did
- you ever ask for an official BlackBerry?
- A I don't recall asking for it, although I could
- have. What I recall is that I think we had -- and again this
- is very fuzzy -- I think we had one of those things called
- 19 pagers, which are pretty useless, and which were pretty bulky
- and not very effective. And that's what I think -- I think
- 21 they had -- you know, the White House is a very hierarchical
- 22 place. And I think that among the distinctions were
- 23 assistants versus deputy assistants to the President, and
- 24 also various offices based on national security and all that.
- But again, I was not part of those discussions. What I knew

- 1 was we had our political BlackBerrys.
- A As I recall, yes.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 4 Q And did you have one of those?
- 5 A I recall there was -- I recall having one, yes.
- 6 Q And for how long did you have that?
- A I assume I had it all 2 years. I recall almost

 never, ever using it. Part of it is because I don't know how

 to use a pager, and equally I thought it was a fairly useless
 tool.
- Q Did your colleagues within the Office of Political Affairs have pagers?
- 13 A I don't know the answer to that question. I am
 14 assuming -- I don't know. I don't want to speculate.
- Q Do you know whether any other colleagues at the White House had pagers?

A Again, this is at the very beginning, because they moved people to BlackBerrys. I assume others did at similar levels. But it was, you know -- here is part also, once we got our RNC BlackBerrys, you know from -- you know office politics -- everybody wants them. So I tried to be very quiet about the fact that I had it. People that were not -- what's it called, not special assistants or above, not commissioned officers who had BlackBerrys, I was not advertising that to anybody, because then others would want

1 it, too. Did staff in the Office of Political Affairs ask 2 0 for a BlackBerry? 3 Did they ask for political BlackBerrys? 4 Α Did they ask for an official BlackBerry? 5 Q Did anyone ever ask for an official BlackBerry? 6 7 I am sure they asked for everything. And I am sure 8 I asked for everything. Do you recall them asking for an official 9 Q 10 BlackBerry? I do not. But I know -- again, knowing how the 11 12 world is with equipment, and I am sure you have the same thing in your office, everybody wants everything all the time 13 and constantly is pushing for it. When we got our political 14 BlackBerrys, I was pleased that our office was able to 15 communicate in a way that was effective. 16 Did you ever ask that anyone in your office be 17 0 18 provided an official BlackBerry? I don't recall doing that, but I very well could 19 have. And again, this is -- office equipment, as you know, 20 is something everybody wants everything all the time. 21 Why wouldn't you ask for official BlackBerrys for 22 Q people in your office? 23 Well, the fact that we had political BlackBerrys, 24 25 and as I recall others at their level, non-commissioned

- officers had nothing, meant that it would in my judgment have been dumb to be greedy enough that everybody would pay attention to the fact that there are in some offices a special assistant who has no BlackBerry and you have some staff assistant who has a BlackBerry. And so I was mindful of trying to get our people as much equipment as we could, without calling attention to the fact that we were in a
 - Q Now, Scott Jennings in recent testimony before the Senate Judiciary Committee said he asked for a White House official BlackBerry.
 - A Yeah.

Q Do you recall him asking for one?

pretty good position relative to other people.

- A He didn't work when I was there. We were two generations apart. I was there from 2001 to 2003. I believe he arrived there in 2005. So I don't recall him asking for that.
 - Q Were you aware of any discussions, following the September 11th attacks, regarding the need to improve emergency communications among White House officials?
 - A I knew that -- I mean, yeah, there was -- I don't know the internal discussions, but, you know, in the EEOB, literally -- the only reason I knew that we had to evacuate was because I happened to be on the phone with Nick Calio, and he said we have to evacuate. And he was in the West

- Wing, we were in the EEOB. And we walked outside, and people were running down the hall. And I went to every one of my
- offices and said, you need to get out, you need to get out.
- 4 There was literally no system to let us know. So, God
- forbid, if a plane had been coming in, you know, the EEOB
- 6 would have been hit and people wouldn't have known, which was
- 7 amazing. So they did all kinds of things after 9/11,
- 8 including putting, you know, the bullet proof windows on the
- 9 other side -- on the 17th Avenue side of that building. And
- part of it was an emergency system in the EEOB, connected
- 11 with the White House so that, you know, it wouldn't be
- 12 treated like second class citizens for purposes of
- emergencies.
- Q Did the White House try to ensure that White House officials had BlackBerrys as part of trying to improve
- 16 emergency communications capability?
- 17 A I don't remember that as part of it. That's not
- something I remember as part of it, but I remember massively
- upgrading the systems.
- Q The Bush-Cheney 2004 campaign --
- 21 A M-hm.
- Q -- has told the Committee that a total of 11 White
- House officials held Bush-Cheney 2004 e-mail accounts while
- 24 at the White House.
- A M-hm.

1	Q Were you involved in the decision-making process
2	regarding which individuals would be given accounts?
3	A I was informed. I tried not to get involved,
4	because obviously you had people constantly asking for
5	things. And my goal was to, you know, say no to as few
6	people as I had to, but as few people as I could, but as
7	many people as I had to.
8	Q So who made those decisions?
9	A Ultimately, I assume Karl Rove made it, probably in
10	consultation with Andy Card. But my goal, both because I had
11	to pay for it at the campaign, and I didn't want all these
12	people e-mailing stuff, was to keep it as limited as
13	possible. I didn't want them all having those e-mails.
14	Q Who made the decision from the part of the
15	campaign?
16	A Who as to the campaign?
17	Q M-hm.
18	A I made it. But not for the White House. And my
19	bias was against as many people as possible, because I also
20	didn't want them thinking they I didn't want them
21	thinking, you know, they could tell the campaign what to do,
22	which having one of those accounts could create a
23	misimpression that you could.
24	Q Now we heard from the campaign. They gave us the

names of six individuals who had these accounts. Dan

1 Bartlett --2 Α Right. 3 -- B.J. Goergen, Israel Hernandez, Susan Ralston, Q 4 Karl Rove and Peter Wehner. 5 Α M-hm. 6 Do you know who else had accounts? 0 7 Α No. You said there were 11? I could guess -- I 8 shouldn't. Mr. Ross. Don't guess. Which are the ones -- could you 9 10 go through the list again that you just read? 11 Dan Bartlett, B.J. Goergen, Israel Hernandez, Susan Ralston, Karl Rove and Peter Wehner. 12 13 I don't remember if -- I don't remember off the top Α 14 of my head. 15 Do you know which office in the White House would likely have been given these accounts? 16 17 Α This would be speculating. Mr. Ross. Yeah, if you don't know you don't know. 18 Mr. Mehlman. Maybe Scott McClellan or somebody, but I 19 20 don't know. 21 BY MS. AMERLING: 22 Q And do you know who at the campaign would have been 23 responsibile for troubleshooting with respect to these e-mail 24 accounts? 25 Α Probably Dirk, Dirk Eyman.

- 1 Q Do you know how to spell that? 2 Α E-y-m-a-n. A great man with the worst job in the 3 world. 4 Q And the RNC has told the Committee 88 White House 5 officials were provided RNC e-mail accounts. 6 Α During the campaign? 7 No. Over a period of time. Q 8 Α Yeah. 9 Q Not just the campaign. 10 Α Right. 11 Were you involved in decisions about the provision Q 12 of any of these accounts? 13 I would have -- only I would have been the people Α 14 at the Political Affairs Office. 15 0 When you were at the Political Affairs Office you 16 mean? 17 Yeah. Α 18 Q And how about when you were at the RNC? 19 Again, I would have -- my bias would have been to 20 say no to as many people as I could. But ultimately I was
- not -- I don't recall being -- that coming before me. And I also -- remember, at RNC, I had a chief of staff who would have handled a situation like that. But any questions on 24 that stuff I always would have said give them less.

22

23

25

Q Do you know what the criteria were for deciding

1 whether a particular individual would be given an account? 2 I assume based on their need, whether they --3 again, I assume based on the same criteria I had to know, 4 one, which was in the Political Affairs Office you probably 5 ought to have one. But beyond that, I don't think that 6 the -- generally, there were other people that may have had a 7 lot of political activity that they did, but as you know, 8 from I am sure the same thing is true in this office, people 9 always want more equipment, more things. And my experience 10 is the way to manage that is to discourage it, or else you 11 end up with everybody having everything, and it's a waste of 12 money. And who at the RNC was responsible for 13 Q 14 troubleshooting it when technical issues came up with the RNC 15 accounts? 16 Well, Dirk came to the RNC after the campaign, but Α 17 he had people that worked under him. 18 Q Dirk Eyman? 19 Dirk Eyman. Α 20 Q And who else worked with him? 21 For a while a fellow named Jeremy Anderson worked Α under him. There were others who worked in that shop. 22 Do you remember their names? 23 Q 24 Α I do not.

Did you ever search, while you were at the White

25

Q

1	House,	did yo	u ever	search	your	files	to	respond	to	an
2	investi	igative	reque	st?						
3	Α	No.	Not	that I	recal	l. No	t tl	hat I red	call	l.

Q When you were Chairman of the RNC, did the RNC assist the White House in responding to requests for information from investigations?

A I am not certain of the answer to that question. I know that in the course of my time at the RNC, obviously there was the leak investigation that occurred, and then there was also -- there was a phone jamming case in New Hampshire. And in both cases I know that a counsel -- I know that I was concerned that our counsel make sure that we do everything we could at the RNC to make sure we retained records during the course of those investigations that were relevant and responsive to the extent to which we were required to. And I asked him to make sure that was the case, and he did. But how he did that and who he talked to, I don't know the answer to that question.

Q You don't recall the RNC searching RNC e-mail records to respond to investigative requests to the White House?

A Again, I recall having discussions with respect to both the leak investigation and the New Hampshire jamming case. Those are the two that I recall. And I think they were the two major investigations that occurred when I was

1	the chairman.	And that's when I recall having the
2	discussions to	make sure we were doing everything we could
3	from a preserva	ation perspective and a responsive perspective,
4	to make sure we	e were being responsive.

Q And what prompted those discussions?

A The fact that we were -- that there was a leak investigation. The leak investigation was obviously front page news. And as you know, Karl Rove had an RNC account. And the counsel -- my counsel briefed me, my counsel, Tom Josefiak, briefed me on the fact that we were taking these protective steps to make sure we were responsive and not doing anything in terms of losing material. I kind of recall the same thing with respect to New Hampshire, but I am less certain about that.

Q You don't recall this discussion involving a discussion of ensuring a response that the White House could respond to a request for information?

A From?

Q From let's take the leak investigation.

A Well, as I recall the RNC being focused on the RNC accounts and making sure we were being responsive that way.

Q And you were focused on the RNC accounts because the RNC had received requests for information directly?

A No, because there was -- Karl Rove's RNC account could have been seen as -- what I recall being briefed on was

- that there was a concern that his RNC account could be responsive to questions, and therefore taking steps to ensure that his RNC -- his RNC e-mails were protected in an appropriate way.
 - Q And were those discussions -- did your discussions of this issue involve discussions with the White House?
- A It involved my discussions with the White House
 Counsel -- I am sorry, excuse me, the RNC Counsel, with Tom
 Josefiak.

- Q And did he have discussions with the White House Counsel?
- 12 A I am not certain who he talked to. I can't answer 13 that question.
 - Q Do you know if he talked to anybody at the White House about this issue?

A Oh, yeah. He is an incredibly careful guy. And certainly, again, this is -- there is knowledge -- there are things you remember clearly. There are things someone told you that you know and that you can remember them telling you that you trust, and then there is the third level of knowledge, which is you think you are kind of reconstructing it a little bit. This is where I put that. I recall him having conversations. I don't remember if it was with White House Counsel, with Mr. Rove's lawyer. In the leak investigation, it was not White House Counsel, who was -- I

1	forget the guy's name, a guy at Patton Boggs. But those
2	discussions Tom Josefiak had, I don't recall with respect to
3	White House whether how much the White House was involved
4	in the New Hampshire case. But I do recall that our e-mail,
5	the RNC e-mail, with respect to both cases, we were very
6	careful to preserve records.
7	Q And when did those discussions that the RNC Counse
8	had occur?
9	A In 2005 and 2006.
10	Q When did they start? When did they when were
11	the first discussions?
12	A I don't remember the exact place that they started.
13	I remember this was an issue
14	Mr. Ross. Let me just, if you are going to want to get
15	into the discussions between the RNC Counsel and Ken as RNC
16	Chairman, I am going to want to consult with the RNC Counsel
17	with respect to whether he thinks that there is any
18	attorney-client question that's implicated.
19	[11:01 a.m.]
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Ī RPTS JURA 2 DCMN MAYER MS. AMERLING: My question was on timing discussion. 3 4 MR. ROSS: I don't mind. That is why I said, I just want to draw a line as to where we should stop here. 5 6 MS. AMERLING: I understand. 7 MR. ROSS: You can give the timing, but let's not get 8 into the specifics. 9 MR. MEHLMAN: I recall when I was at the RNC, those 10 discussions occurred relatively early in the process when I 11 was there. 12 BY MS. AMERLING: 13 When you say relatively early, what do you mean? Q I recall them occurring in '05, during the course 14 15 of the leak investigation. 16 Are you aware of any discussions that occurred 0 prior to 2005, with respect to the issue of being responsive 17 to the leak investigation? 18 19 Α At the RNC? 20 0 Yes. 21 I am not aware. Α 22 So, to your knowledge, the first discussion --Q 23 MR. ROSS: That is not what he said. MS. AMERLING: I am asking. He can answer one way or 24 25 the other.

1	Let me ask the question, okay? If you have an
2	objection, I would will glad to hear it.
3	BY MS. AMERLING:
4	Q My question is, to your knowledge, was '05 the
5	first time there was communication between White House
6	counsel or Mr. Rove's attorney and the RNC with respect to
7	responding to the leak investigation?
8	A And
9	MR. ROSS: I guess my concern is, you'd asked Mr.
10	Mehlman he said that he would be he went to the RNC in
11	2005.
12	MR. AMERLING: Yes.
13	MR. ROSS: And then you asked a series of questions
14	about conversations that occurred while he was chairman at
15	the RNC.
16	MS. AMERLING: Yes.
17	MR. ROSS: Is your question now going to a different
18	area, asking what knowledge he might have of discussions
19	between the White House counsel and the RNC counsel prior to
20	his becoming RNC chairman?
21	MS. AMERLING: My question is, what is his knowledge of
22	whether there was any discussion prior to 2005 between White
23	House counsel and the RNC on the issue of responsiveness to
24	requests from the leak investigation.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace.$ ROSS: So the question is, prior to his going to the

- 1 RNC, does he have knowledge of conversations between the 2 White House counsel and whoever was RNC counsel at that time 3 about preserving records? 4 MS. AMERLING: The question is, does he have knowledge 5 that any such conversations occurred prior to 2005. 6 MR. ROSS: Okay. 7 MR. MEHLMAN: Can I ask him one thing? 8 MR. ROSS: Yeah. 9 [Discussion off the record.] 10 MR. MEHLMAN: I am not certain of the conversations 11 involving RNC counsel before I became RNC chairman. 12 BY MS. AMERLING: 13 Q Did the RNC receive a request for documents directly from the investigator in the leak investigation? 14 15 MR. ROSS: Is this, what time? While he was at the RNC? 16 MS. AMERLING: We can -- I wonder if he has knowledge of 17 any requests that --
- 18 MR. ROSS: I am not trying to be difficult.
- 19 MS. AMERLING: At any period of time. He may have 20 knowledge, based on the fact that he was there, of something 21 that occurred prior to when he got there. So I am asking, 22 what is his knowledge on this issue.
- 23 MR. ROSS: Okay.
- 24 MR. MEHLMAN: Repeat it again. I apologize. I kind of 25 forgot the question.

1	DT MD. AMERLING:
2	Q Do you have knowledge of any request that the leak
3	investigation made directly to the RNC
4	A I do not.
5	Q for response?
6	A I do not. I do not have knowledge either way with
7	respect to that.
8	Q But when you became Chair of the RNC, you received
9	thorough briefings from your RNC counsel about the issues,
10	legal issues relevant to the RNC. Is that accurate?
11	A Yeah.
12	Q While you were at the Bush-Cheney campaign, were
13	there any discussions there about the issue of preserving
14	e-mails
15	A Yes.
16	Q to respond to the leak investigation?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And can you describe those discussions?
19	A The discussions were almost very early in the
20	process when the investigation began.
21	Obviously, the e-mails in question, Mr. Rove's e-mails
22	in question and some other people's e-mails in question were
23	e-mails that at the time were Bush-Cheney e-mails. So our
24	counsel one of the counsel at the campaign sat down with a
25	deputy to Mr. Fitzgerald and worked out a system by which

- 1 e-mails could be preserved that he was comfortable with and 2 satisfactory with. 3 Q And when did that occur? 4 Α I think that occurred, and this is -- again, this is murky. But I think it occurred -- it was in 2003, I 5 6 think, when it occurred. 7 I mean, remember this whole thing started -- you know 8 what? I think it was in 2003. Do you remember? I don't remember when Mr. Fitzgerald was appointed, but it was not 9 10 that long after that process began. 11 Q It was after he was appointed? 12 Α Oh, obviously. 13 And how did you know that there were e-mails in 0 question involving Mr. Rove? 14 15
- A I forget. Well, because they had in a public way
 announced that Mr. Rove's e-mails were to be preserved.
 - Q "They," meaning Fitzgerald?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A Mr. Fitzgerald. And I recall there was an order by Mr. Gonzalez to preserve all records and e-mails and other things relating to this. And it was done to White House staff.
 - We were not White House staff, but at the same time there is an investigation going on. We are not trying to split hairs and play games, so we explicitly -- I don't remember if we explicitly reached out, but there was

- communication that was done to make sure that e-mails that we 1 2 had access to and jurisdiction over were also complying with the rules, even though we were not subject to the -- how the 3 4 government was dealing with it. 5 So the Bush-Cheney campaign, did they receive a 0 6 direct request from Fitzgerald investigators? 7 I don't recall. And, again, this is the third 8 level of knowledge. We may have reached out on our own and 9 said, How should we handle this? 10 0 Reached out to the White House, or reached out to 11 the investigators? 12 Α Investigators. 13 And how did you become aware that Mr. Gonzalez had Q 14 issued a request? 15 Α Because it was reported on the news. It was a big 16 story. 17 Q And that is how you became aware? 18 Α Mm-hmm. 19 0 And were there any discussions about the issue of preservation of Bush-Cheney e-mails, e-mails on the 20 Bush-Cheney e-mail accounts between Bush-Cheney campaign 21 22 officials and the White House?
- A No. There were some things that we preserved for a
 Presidential library. But the concept of, if you are asking
 about the Records Act, that is not a subject that ever came

1	up.
2	Q I am asking whether the White House discussed the
3	issue of preserving records in response to the leak
4	investigation with the White House and the Bush-Cheney
5	campaign
6	A I don't know the answer. I don't recall the
7	answer. What I recall instead was that there was discussion
8	between our folks and folks in Mr. Fitzgerald's office.
9	Q The Bush-Cheney campaign gave the committee a memo
10	to Bush-Cheney's staff, Bush-Cheney campaign staff regarding
11	a 30-day deletion policy
12	A Mm-hmm.
13	Q for e-mails that had been established by the
14	campaign. This is the same policy you talked about earlier,
15	correct?
16	A Yeah.
17	Q And were you aware of any exemption from this
18	policy for Mr. Rove or Susan Ralston?
19	A That was the exemption that we talked about just
20	now.
21	Q What kind of exemption?
22	A In other words, my recollection is that e-mails
23	that could be seen as responsive to the leak investigation
24	during the pendency of that investigation were preserved.
25	Q And for what time period were those e-mails?

1 Well, certainly they were preserved during the 2 period -- the Bush-Cheney campaign ended after 2004. So they 3 were certainly preserved during the whole time that I was 4 there at the campaign. 5 And then, I don't know if they, after 2005, after Mr. 6 Rove was not charged with anything and that kind of 7 investigation ended, I am not certain then if they were 8 deleted or how it was dealt with. I just know that when I 9 was there and we were there, we had a system to make sure we 10 were complying. 11 0 Now, the RNC told committee staff that it occasionally puts holds --12 13 Α Right. 14 Q -- on its 30-day deletion policy. 15 Α For similar reasons. 16 Q And while you were at the RNC, were there any 17 such holds placed --18 What I recall is that a similar hold was placed on Α Mr. Rove's e-mails. There was a -- I put a hold on some of 19 20 my e-mails for a period --For what period did you hold your e-mails? 21 Q 22 Α -- during the same leak investigation. I was 23 not -- I -- since I had been at the White House for a month 24 at the beginning of it, I thought that was the smarter thing

25

to do, and --

1 So -- I am sorry, you are talking about when you Q 2 were RNC Chair --3 Α When I was --4 -- you put a hold on your e-mails from when you Q 5 were at the White House? 6 What I was saying was that any e-mails that I had, 7 the RNC had of mine that could be relevant to the leak 8 investigation, that they had during the period I was RNC 9 chairman, I put a hold on. I recall them putting a hold on 10 those, too, since I had been at the White House at the very 11 beginning when the whole leak thing began. And I had 12 voluntarily, as a witness, answered questions to -- not Mr. 13 Fitzgerald, but one of his deputies. And so I thought it would also be smart, and my counsel agreed, to preserve some 14 15 of my e-mails for a period as well. 16 And during what period of time was the hold policy placed with respect to Mr. Rove? 17 18 Α I am assuming it was the same period, during the 19 pendency of the investigation. 20 So there was a hold on his e-mails from when Mr. 21 Fitzgerald commenced his investigation throughout the 22 whole --23 Α Well, remember, I wasn't at RNC then. 24 Bush-Cheney campaign e-mail period, from the period of Mr.

Gonzalez announcing his policy at the White House, we talked

- to the investigators and came up with a similar policy, is what I recall, for the campaign.
- Then I went to the RNC, and we had a similar policy for Mr. Rove's political e-mails there, ensuring we were complying with the investigation.
- Q Was that policy already in place when you arrived at the RNC?
- 8 MR. ROSS: Excuse me.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 9 [Discussion off the record.]
- MR. ROSS: Sorry. I didn't mean to -- what was your question? I am sorry. Sorry about that.
 - MR. CASTOR: I would like to note for the record we've been here an hour and 15 minutes. Maybe it makes sense to ask the witness if he wants to stretch his legs; and it maybe makes sense for the two staffs to caucus to find out how much longer we are going to be going for this round.
 - MS. AMERLING: We probably have about 10 or 15 minutes more questions. So if you would like to stretch your legs, you are welcome to, but there would probably be a breaking point in about 10 or 15 minutes.
- 21 MR. ROSS: Why don't we go for the 10 minutes and see 22 where we are?
- BY MS. AMERLING:
- Q Was the hold policy for Mr. Rove's e-mails in place when you arrived at the RNC?

1	A I am not certain the answer to that question,
2	because I am not certain of the interplay between the
3	campaign e-mails and the RNC e-mails, in other words, how
4	that went back and forth. I just don't know the answer to
5	that question.
6	I know they had them in both places. I know that
7	counsel drafted them in both places. I am not aware of all
8	the specifics of how they did it; I just knew they were in
9	place. And when what happened when the two were put in
10	place is not something I am specifically aware of. I know,
11	as I said, I was briefed that they were in place. And my
12	experience with my counsel is, when he tells you it is in
13	place, you can bank it.
14	Q But you were briefed when you first came on
15	A Mm-hmm.
16	Q that there was an issue relating to the
17	preservation of Mr. Rove's e-mails?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And at that time, you wanted a complete
20	understanding of what policies were in place?
21	A I wanted to know that there was a system in place
22	to preserve it that was appropriate to the rules. And I was
23	told there was. I was not
24	Q But you don't recall how long that system had been

in place, or whether that system was in place when you came

1 on? 2 No. And the complete understanding is not 3 something I would have wanted because, again, that is not --I think that is something that the counsel -- I trust people 4 who -- you hire good people and they do their jobs; you don't 5 6 get in the weeds. 7 Was there a hold policy in place for any other White House staff than Mr. Rove with respect to RNC e-mails, 8 9 e-mails sent to RNC accounts? 10 Α I am not aware of that answer to that question. I 11 don't know the answer. 12 0 And you said that you placed a hold on your own 13 e-mails? 14 Α Yes. 15 And those e-mails were e-mails you sent as RNC 0 16 Chair, not as White House political director? 17 Α Right. 18 And were there e-mails that you placed on hold with Q 19 respect to your own account as RNC Chair that were relevant 20 to the leak investigation? 21 That is what I recall, yes. When I say I put it on -- the counsel's policy, they thought and I agreed, should 22 also apply to me, given the fact that I had been called as a 23

fact witness before some of the folks investigating the leak

24

25

issue.

1	And were those e-mails provided to the
2	investigators?
3	A I don't know what they did with them. I know that
4	there was active discussion between my lawyer and the
5	investigators. But what they did with them, I don't know the
6	answer to.
7	I know we were very careful to make sure everything we
8	did and said was entirely consistent with what the counsel
9	thought would be appropriate.
10	Q And which RNC counsel is responsible for making
11	these decisions?
12	A Well, there were two people involved. One is Tom
13	Josefiak, who was the White House counsel. I also had
14	Henry DePippo was a lawyer that I had that represented both
15	me and also helped represented the Bush campaign with respect
16	to this leak investigation issue. So he also was consulted
17	to make sure we were consistent.
18	Q You said you had no knowledge regarding whether the
19	RNC received a document request directly from Mr.
20	Fitzgerald's office?
21	A I don't know the answer to that, yeah.
22	Q Did the RNC ever receive a preservation request
23	from Mr. Fitzgerald?
24	A I don't know the answer to that.
25	Q And did the RNC ever receive a preservation request

1	from the White House?
2	A I don't know the answer to that question. I simply
3	know that there were systems and policies put in place that
4	were, in the view of our counsel, who's cautious and
5	conservative, adequate.
6	Q Do you know whether the White House and RNC
7	discussed the preservation of e-mails?
8	A I don't.
9	Q If you'd permit, our staff would like to ask a
10	question or two to complete the round.
11	EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. BARNETT:
13	Q In our investigation, and maybe we're looking at it
14	with hindsight, but we look and see there's a lot of e-mails
15	sent that are missing, that were sent by people while you
16	were at the White House. Your e-mails, there is no record of
17	those.
18	Mr. Rove, who's obviously had a central role, they are
19	preserved later on maybe as a result of some of these hold
20	policies. And so in hindsight you look and say, well, those
21	probably should have been preserved because they could have
22	involved official records. Would you agree with that?

I don't know that -- I think it depends on the

specific e-mail and the context of the e-mail. And, as I

said, the thing that we were dealing with, at least at the

23

24

beginning, which is always the most challenging time in setting up any office, was what was described to me as the kind of interplay between a desire not to have the official taxpayer funding for an office that is political in nature.

And so how do you deal with that with respect to equipment and all that? It is kind of a hybrid office.

And then also the fact that there are these exemptions to the Presidential Records Act. So we were kind of dealing with two different systems, both of which had, in some cases, contradictory or competing goals. And that was what we were trying to wrestle with.

Q In the case of a leak investigation, when you were at the RNC and dealing with this issue, you came to the judgment that some of those e-mails could have involved the leak. The leak investigation didn't involve political -- those weren't any kind of political e-mails?

A They could have been. I mean, my impression -- my approach to this stuff is, be extra careful. And so as soon as Al Gonzalez announced his policy, we thought, the campaign, that we wanted to make sure we were being consistent and compliant with the policy, even though it didn't cover us. And that's why someone reached out to someone in Mr. Fitzgerald's office and they made sure we were handling it in an appropriate way.

And now I don't remember if it was a document request,

but I remember there was that outreach.

Q The perception that I have has been there wasn't anyone really to put the pieces -- was there anyone to put the pieces together here? That there was a lot of use of these RNC e-mail accounts from people in the RNC. They may not know how they are being used at the White House; the people at the White House, they may not know about the destruction policy and assumed they are being preserved.

When Susan Ralston talked to us, she said she had that assumption. You were kind of in a position to put the pieces together. Is it fair to look and say, why didn't you put the pieces together?

A Well, I think that my approach again was based on two things. One was my understanding of the two rules and the legal system, the regimes under the two laws, and also, my experience up here. And the hybrid nature of a lot of both parties' staffs up here was you have people that up on the Hill have political BlackBerrys, or cell phones, and official.

And so based on those two and based on my understanding, what I recall from counsel, my impression was that the approach that we took was one that was consistent with the law.

Q You I think you said like you used your RNC BlackBerry maybe 60 percent of the time, and 80 percent of

your e-mails were official e-mails? 1 2 No. What I said is, assuming the No. No. 3 definitions we agreed to, I am assuming 80 percent of the 4 e-mails from both places were political, and that if you add 5 up all the times that e-mails were used between the 6 BlackBerry and the laptop, 60 percent were those opposed to 7 the use of the who.eop.gov e-mail. 8 I see. So 60 percent of your e-mails --Q 9 Were political. 10 MR. ROSS: Were on either the BlackBerry or the laptop? 11 MR. MEHLMAN: Right. And 80 percent of the overall 12 e-mails were political in nature, including some that were on 13 the who.eop, which I would hit "don't save," pursuant to the 14 instructions we received about how to do that. 15 BY MS. AMERLING: 16 0 To follow up on that, the committee requested from 17 the RNC a number of e-mails sent or received by you while you were at the White House. And the answer we got back was that 18 19 none of your e-mails had been preserved, they had been 20 destroyed. Α 21 Okay. 22 So would you concede this was a violation of the Presidential Records Act? 23

A No, not at all. Because my understanding of the
Presidential Records Act is that it doesn't apply to

1	political or to reelection activities. So it would not be a
2	violation of the Presidential Records Act.
3	Q You said you used your RNC BlackBerry on a daily
4	basis?
5	A Yeah.
6	Q And sometimes for official business?
7	A Right.
8	Q So since none of these e-mails that were official
9	business were preserved, isn't this a violation of the
10	Presidential Records Act?
11	A No. I would not agree that it is a violation for
12	two reasons. Number one, there were certainly occasions
13	where I would send an e-mail from my RNC e-mail and print it
14	out and mark it for preservation, A. And, B, my
15	understanding, again, of the Presidential Records Act is that
16	there is a clear exemption for both political, which can
17	include some official, and also an exemption for reelection.
18	Q Okay.
19	MS. AMERLING: I have no further questions at this
20	point.
21	[Recess.]
22	EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. CASTOR:
24	Q Thanks for coming back.
25	A Sure.

Q I do want to acknowledge your time here today. The
committee's asked you to appear voluntarily to answer our
questions. You have agreed to that. You have also agreed to
return later in the month, as I understand it, to talk about
other topics.

It would seem fair to me that the committee should have an interest in talking to a witness like yourself, that to sort of combine all the topics into one day. So I am a little bit wondering why we couldn't have just waited a couple weeks and had you in and minimized the disruption to your schedule and the other aspects of you living your life as not a public official?

A Right.

Q But, nevertheless, thanks for being here.

We have had some dialogue this morning about political versus official duties. Isn't it fair to say that when it comes to the President's political advisers, the determination of whether any given topic or communication is political or official is not a digital one or a zero question?

A That is correct. Absolutely. And within the concept of political, obviously there are distinctions as well, which is, as you know, very much a part of the law. And so whether you are talking about fund-raising or you are talking about who pays for things, there is official, there

is political, and then within the political there is political-electoral and there are political issues.

And it is interesting, you are right, it is not a one or a zero. And one of the bases for how I thought about it was, frankly, from my experience up here.

Q During the previous administration there was some, I guess during the fund-raising questions that were raised with the Clinton administration, there was an opportunity for the press to reflect. And there were comments in at least the New York Times article in March of '97 that there were questions, when President Carter was in the White House, whether he had solicited campaign donations, where specifically it was in the White House.

The New York Times discussed that counsel for the first President Bush, C. Boyden Gray, sent a memorandum to White House staff trying to help them understand at the time, in late 1991, the best way to sort of sort these questions out.

And so, is it fair to say that coming into the White House in January 2001, the White House Counsel's Office and officials like yourself in the Office of Political Affairs sort of had to figure out the rules of the road?

A We did. And it was particularly challenging for a hybrid kind of office like the Office of Political Affairs, which obviously is a taxpayer-funded office, but at the same time is an office that whose job is political affairs. So

you absolutely have to spend a lot of time kind of figuring		
it out and figuring out the rules, which is why I spent as		
much time as I did talking to the folks in the Counsel's		
Office and trying to seek their guidance.		

Q And so, on one hand, if you had conducted political business on your official White House account, wouldn't you have presumed that maybe somebody from the Congress would come banging down your door alleging Hatch Act violations, that you are using official resources for political business?

A Well, you know, I was not -- when the system that we set up was set up, I am not certain that we discussed Congress as the basis for it. But, rather, it was this unique hybrid office that you have. And given the fact that it is our understanding that the Presidential -- it was my understanding, at least, that the Presidential Records Act clearly said if it involves the reelection campaign and if it involves politics, then it is not subject to the Presidential Records, and that the Hatch Act doesn't apply to the political office.

You really have had rules that have to apply to a very hybrid kind of office. And that was what they tried to come up with, an approach to deal with it that.

Q And you said you had discussions with Mr. Kavanaugh in the White House Counsel's Office. Was it your understanding that he took a good look at many of these

1	challenges?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And conferred presumably with his supervisors,
4	whether it was Mr. Flanagan or Mr. Gonzalez, and came up with
5	a game plan?
6	A It was. And if you would look over the years at
7	things that people have objected to, my understanding of most
8	of those things involves more the inappropriate use of
9	official for political, rather than the issue of and
10	taxpayer-funded for political, rather than the record issue.
11	So I think their approach they took, consistent with my
12	understanding of the law, was to say, how do we avoid those
13	problems, or try to avoid them, which you never can do.
14	Q And was it the practice of your office to err on
15	the side of an abundance of caution?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And use potentially the political machinery to
18	conduct a communication that you believed was
19	A That is part of why, you know, if you had to err,
20	using political equipment or political machinery for official
21	is in my understanding is is not in any way violating
22	anything and is pretty hard to object to. And so that was
23	why, if you had to make the if you had to err, that was
24	the side you wanted to err on.
25	Q And is it also fair to say that if you were

- and what were issues people saw in the broadly defined bucket of economic issues. So health care might be one; worries
- 3 about trade might be one, too.
- Q Were these types of presentations something you did
 on a regular basis to the Cabinet departments, on the
- 6 political appointees in the Cabinet departments?
- 7 A Yes. Yes.
- Q And do you remember how many -- for example, did
 you go to every Cabinet department?
- 10 A I do not recall going to every Cabinet department.
- 11 Q Did you do more like 10 or more like 30? Do you
- 12 have a sense --
- 13 A Over the 2-year period?
- 14 Q Yeah.
- A I don't recall the actual number, but -- let me
 think. I don't know that it would have been 30. That's a
 lot. But it would have been more than 10 I would think. But
 again that's conjecture.
- Q And when you went to the Cabinet departments to talk with their political appointees --
- 21 A Yes.
- Q -- did you pull together what you consider were the right slides for the particular group?
- A Typical approach we would take would be to pull together slides to show to the White House Counsel to make

sure he was comfortable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And what were the, in your mind, the purposes of communicating this information to the political appointees?

Well, when I took the job, some previous political directors said to me, you know, it's really important to keep folks in the loop and briefed, and suggested a number of different things. But I think that the objectives are, number one, obviously to remind people that we are all one team and that we all have a common mission. It's easy, unfortunately, in Washington, whether it's up on the Hill or in an agency, for people to suddenly forget the big picture. And that was important to us, number one. Number two, a lot of these folks were folks who had worked on the first They were friends. They viewed themselves as part campaign. of a larger family. And to make them feel connected was important, particularly because of what happened on 9/11, which was, in my opinion, the President's ability -- if you look at previous administrations, the President spent more time -- you know, 41 got his picture with every schedule C. This President didn't have the time to do that. making people feel a link to the person they are working for I thought was an important thing to do. And third, I thought that they could do their job better, which is to accomplish the President's agenda and provide more support for the agenda if they knew where we stood with the agenda.

- fourth, because these are political people in many -- in all
- occasions, is to make sure that they had an appropriate and
- 3 effective way to be politically active.
- 4 Q And you mentioned, before you went out to an
- agency, you ran the slides by the White House Counsel's
- 6 Office?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And did the White House Counsel's Office approve
- 9 every -- on a slide-by-slide basis?
- 10 A Yeah. The approach I would take, that I recall
- 11 taking, was my assistant or I would take it down there and he
- would edit it or change it.
- Q And if you were going to add some new information,
- 14 you would bring him in the loop?
- 15 A That was the approach we tried to take, yeah.
- 16 Q And was it your understanding that the lawyers and
- 17 the White House Counsel's Office had an understanding of the
- 18 Hatch Act?
- 19 A Yeah. I mean, that's their job.
- Q And they had the necessary tools to go out and
- 21 research what was the right thing for --
- A Well, and that's why you would show it to them, so
- they could be in a place to hopefully talk to the counsel of
- the agencies about the presentation.
- Q Did you know that we have heard -- some of the

- White House Counsel folks actually communicated with the U.S.
- 2 Office of Special Counsel, the Hatch Act --
- 3 A Yeah.
- Q -- enforcement group. And they would reach out to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, as we have been told, to get sort of an advisory opinion, are these the types of
- 7 slides --
- A I was not aware of that, but it doesn't surprise

 me. I mean, the people at the White House Counsel's Office,

 particularly Brett, is a very, very good and cautious lawyer.
- Q Flipping to the last page dealing with competitive House districts?
- 13 A M-hm.

- Q You know, looking, for example, at the Pennsylvania seats, Pat Toomey in the Allentown area, Representative Sherwood, Melissa Hart, you know, communicating to some of the political appointees some of the relevant seats, is that an extension of helping people understand the political landscape?
 - A I think it is.
- Q And did you ever get into the specifics in the presentation of these races?
- A Well, I mean, looking at this presentation, this is
 July of 2001, I don't think in these House races we would
 have known. I mean, just again, I am reconstructing this,

- but if you look at these, some of these places are not -- you know, why is for instance -- some of these are competitive because you think there is a good chance there is going to be an open seat. If we have to worry about winning the DeMint race, we got a lot of problems. And so there the question was, was DeMint going to run for something else? And that's part of what I think this appears to be to me. But certainly communicating what are likely to be the competitive races is absolutely part of our job.
 - Q Do you ever recall a discussion about the specific official acts the schedule C's could take to help a particular candidate?

- A I don't recall a discussion of the official acts, no. I recall discussing -- and again, this would not have been -- I recall in 2002 discussing if you want to get involved, here is what you can do. And I recall discussing here are the places and the likely issues and the likely places, but that's what I recall.
- Q So it wasn't your pattern or practice to talk to agency officials about how their official acts can benefit Republican candidates for Congress?
- A No, I mean, the approach that we -- we are the Office of Political Affairs. Am I going to come in here to say to you, we were not engaged in politics? We were absolutely engaged in politics. That's our job, as previous

- offices have been. And I believed our mission was to say to 1 people, here is how you can be effective, consistent with the 2 rules. And that's what we tried to do. 3
- So you don't have any recollection of talking about 4 the Chip Pickering race and the types of acts that can be 5 done, official action in the State of Mississippi that might have an ancillary benefit to reelecting Chip Pickering? 7
- I do not recall that specifically. 8
 - In discussions with the schedule C's and the 0 political appointees, did you ever make a recommendation that they ought to be contributing money --
- Α No. 12

9

10

11

18

19

- 0 -- to the election campaigns of competitive --13
- 14 Absolutely not. Α
- In giving these sort of presentations, did you ever 15 0 16 get a question from the audience that made you feel a little 17 bit uncomfortable that maybe they were going over the line?
 - I don't recall that. I mean, I recall people were anxious to be involved, and my key was to channel their energy for good and appropriate activity.
- So if somebody at the Department of Justice, if you 21 were giving a presentation, hypothetically --22
- I don't recall a presentation at the Department of 23 Α Justice. 24
- 25 0 If a schedule C staffer asked you from the

- audience, you know, what can I do in my official capacity to help a candidate for election, do you know what you might
- 3 have told that person?

- A I would have -- again, I am speculating -- I would have said, the first thing you got to do to help that candidate is talk to your counsel and make sure that anything that you are thinking about is appropriate. And serving this President well on the issues in that district that they care about is the best thing you can do. If you want to get involved in the partisan politics in terms of the other stuff, there is a system in place in 2002 that the RNC is overseeing that starts off with counsel being involved to make sure it's appropriate.
 - Q And was it your recollection that those types of provisos were part of your --
 - A Yes. As I recall, one of the lines I used to always try to use, and I hope I used, and I think I used it as a matter of course to say was, if you have to choose between losing and in any way violating the rules, the spirit or the letter of the rules, lose. And I said that because I thought it would be dramatic for them to hear the White House political director advocate losing. But I thought it was important that they hear it, because I wanted that mind set. I wanted them to think that way.
 - Q Have you ever heard of the terminology asset

1	dep [*]	loyme	ent	?

- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q What does that mean to you?
- A It means ensuring that you get a sense of the
 various assets that the administration has that can help
 advance the President's agenda, and also could, in other
 cases, help advance his politics, and you would deploy them
 in an appropriate way.
 - Q In your tenure at the White House, were there ever asset deployment meetings?
 - A I don't recall calling them -- what we did a lot of was asset deployment. So there were many meetings where we would discuss those issues, but I don't recall a meeting -- I don't recall an "asset deployment" meeting that we called an asset deployment meeting. But we were discussing -- maybe a little bit of a semantic distinction -- we were discussing deploying assets in an appropriate way often.
 - Q So, in your tenure at the White House, there wasn't an asset deployment team of White House staffers that worked specifically on asset deployment?
 - A I don't recall a team that we called asset deployment. I viewed a lot of what our office did as being deploying assets on behalf of the administration and making sure that that was done in an appropriate way. I recall working with White House liaisons and chiefs of staff. They

- 1 were part of from a broadly defined asset deployment effort.
- 2 But I don't recall people saying, you are on the asset
- 3 deployment team. I just recall doing it.
- 4 Q So you don't remember if there was a team captain
- for the asset deployment team?
- 6 A I do not remember that.
- 7 Q To what extent did the Office of Political Affairs,
- 8 during your tenure, get involved with the travel of the
- 9 Cabinet Secretaries?
- 10 A We would certainly advise places they might
- 11 consider going. And if they were doing purely partisan, a
- fund raiser, for instance, we would try to encourage them to
- think about doing them in certain places.
- Q And is it fair to say that when a Cabinet Secretary
- makes a public appearance, the public appearance could be the
- 16 result of the Cabinet Secretary and the agency on its own
- 17 determining that --
- 18 A Absolutely.
- 19 Q -- the Cabinet Secretary wants to be out promoting
- one of their initiatives?
- 21 A Cabinet Secretary, his or her biggest goal is going
- 22 to be to work with members of their committee of jurisdiction
- and to do events in those areas.
- Q And so the Secretary of Transportation, for
- example, shows up at a lot of public events to talk about

1 bridge openings --2 That's a big part of their job. 3 0 -- new highway initiatives. And do you know 4 whether the local Congressional delegations would ordinarily 5 be looped into that type of public event? 6 My understanding is they would typically be, but 7 that would be up to the Cabinet Secretary. 8 0 So it wouldn't surprise you if the Secretary of 9 Transportation was conducting a public grand opening of a 10 bridge --11 Α I would hope that she, and previously he, would be. 12 0 And that Democrats and Republicans might --13 Α Yeah. 14 0 -- be invited to that public event? 15 Α That's right. 16 0 What was your understanding of how all the different travel appearances were kept track of at the White 17 18 House on the White House end of things? 19 Well, there was -- the folks at the Cabinet 20 Liaison's Office had a -- one, they would do a report, a 21 weekly report. What was it called? There was a Cabinet 22 something -- there is a name for it. I can't think of it. 23 It was a term of art. There is a Cabinet report that goes to 24 all the assistants to the President and deputy assistants to

the President that includes what upcoming and outgoing travel

- is. And they also had some -- had a system, too, that kept
- 2 track of it. We would try, because I was -- as part of our
- job, we would try to certainly track it as well. My
- 4 recollection is that it was never tracked particularly
- 5 effectively or efficiently.
- 6 Q But on the whole, the Office of Political Affairs
- 7 and the White House would want to understand where Cabinet
- 8 Secretaries were?
- 9 A Absolutely. And would want to recommend where they
- 10 would consider going.
- 11 Q So if a Member of Congress called someone at the
- White House complaining that he or she is not getting enough
- attention, the White House would be able to go sort of figure
- out, you know, which Cabinet Secretaries had been to their --
- 15 A They would be able to. That, though, I was very
- careful. I mean, I had worked on the Hill for a number of
- 17 years. And Nick Calio and I are good friends. And you know,
- I would not have wanted Nick getting in the middle of
- 19 politics. And so I was very careful of anything we did with
- 20 respect to the Hill was stuff that we talked to Nick about.
- 21 And I would regularly, you know, I think on a weekly basis go
- 22 to Nick's meetings and talk about stuff, and we would try to
- be as coordinated as we could be.
- Q And Mr. Calio was probably on the receiving end, or
- 25 his staff, of requests from Members of Congress?

- 1 A That was the hope, as opposed to us.
- Q Can you send us a Cabinet Secretary to talk about
- 3 our Member's specific initiatives?
- 4 A Right. Can I ride on Air Force One? Most common
- 5 request.
- Q And so it's fair to say that a Cabinet Secretary
- 7 might show up at a public event because a Member of Congress
- 8 asked them to?
- 9 A Absolutely. That was a huge part of what they did.
- 10 At the same time, would we encourage them to show up at
- 11 events in places that were close potentially on issues or on
- politics? Yes.
- 13 Q And is it also fair to say if the President had a
- labor initiative, the White House, whether it's your office
- or the Office of Legislative Affairs, might reach out to the
- 16 Congressional delegation and ask the Congressmen or women to
- 17 attend a public event with the President, talking about the
- 18 initiatives?
- 19 A Yes.
- Q And at that event, there might be a Cabinet
- 21 Secretary?
- 22 A Absolutely.
- Q So, really, there is a very long list of reasons
- 24 that a specific Cabinet Secretary would end up sharing a
- public event with a Member of Congress?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Could be because the Cabinet Secretary of the
- agency decided it was a good idea, part of their mission. It
- 4 could be because the White House decided that that made a lot
- of sense. It could be because the Member of Congress
- 6 requested the public event.
- 7 A It could be because there was an upcoming vote on,
- 8 you know, on the tax cuts, and having the Secretary of the
- 9 Treasury or the OMB Director or somebody else in that area
- 10 before the vote to do an editorial board made a huge
- 11 difference. It could be that you had somebody who was on the
- 12 Appropriations Committee of jurisdiction over funding them,
- and they wanted to make the appropriators happy. Always
- happens. It could be that. It could also be that there was
- 15 a competitive race there, and they wanted to be appropriate
- in helping.
- 17 Ms. <u>Amerling.</u> Steve, you have gone for over an hour,
- and it's close to 1:00.
- 19 Mr. <u>Castor</u>. Okay. Great.
- 20 Ms. <u>Amerling.</u> Are you coming to a point where you could
- 21 finish and have some lunch?
- 22 Mr. <u>Castor</u>. I would like to stop asking questions,
- because my hour is up. I think that's a good way to do it.
- I will be happy to conclude my round. Thank you. Thank you
- 25 for your time.

1		Mr. Mehlman. And thank you for your time.
2		Ms. Amerling. Do you want to take a break for lunch at
3	this	point, or would you like to go into the next round?
4		Mr. Mehlman. I would like a little lunch.
5		Ms. Amerling. Let's go off the record.
6		[Recess.]
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	[1:37 p.m.]
2	Ms. <u>Sachsman.</u> My name is Susanne Sachsman. I am also
3	counsel for the committee, the majority staff.
4	Mr. <u>Mehlman.</u> Nice to meet you.
5	EXAMINATION
6	BY MS. SACHSMAN:
7	Q Nice to meet you, too. Right now, I would like to
8	focus on the Office of Political Affairs' practice of giving
9	political briefings at Federal agencies.
10	A Okay.
11	Q And you discussed this briefly with Mr. Castor.
12	A Yes.
13	Q What we have learned, many of the briefings
14	involved PowerPoint slide shows with sections entitled, "The
15	Political Landscape," and that discussed future elections and
16	specific candidates for elections. And those are the kinds
17	of briefings that I want to talk about.
18	A Okay.
19	Q So if you can think about that in that kind of
20	context. When did the Office of Political Affairs start
21	giving these presentations?
22	A I don't recall when the first presentation was, but
23	I recall it being relatively early in the course of the
24	administration. I saw a document, I think it's Exhibit 3,
25	indicated we gave them in June of 2001, which is obviously

- pretty early. So I recall them occurring pretty much in the pretty early in the beginning of the process.
 - Q And whose idea were they?

- A They were a number of folks. I recall being advised by some folks who in the past had been in the political office that these kinds of things, these briefings and regular updates were very important in terms of the reasons I stated earlier in the interview. And I thought it was a good idea. Others thought it was a good idea. And I recall having conversations with folks in the counsel's office and the chief of staff's office just letting them know and getting their reaction to it.
 - Q Who did you discuss it with in the chief of staff's office?
 - A I recall generally having a discussion with Andy Card, and just saying, this is something that makes sense.

 And I generally recall him saying, you know, that he thought it was also a good idea, and both of us thinking it was important that we talk to counsel about how we structure it.
 - Q And did you discuss with Mr. Card specifically what would be appropriate to have as part of the briefing and what would not?
 - A I don't recall getting into that with him.
- Q Was he aware, to your knowledge, that you were including information about future elections in these

- 1 briefings? 2. I am not aware whether he was or was not aware of 3 that. 4 0 What about whether you know whether he was aware about including information about specific candidates? 5 6 Again, I am not -- two answers to that. One, you 7 know, the presentation I have seen here discussed -- what it 8 appeared to discuss was likely Republican incumbents who were 9 either going to retire or who were going to have potentially 10 tough races based on the last election. So I am not certain 11 that all of the briefings contained the information you are 12 containing, but I am not certain whether he knew or did not 13 know that. 14 0 Was that kind of information, likely Republicans 15 who were going to have tight races --Α 16 Yeah. 17 -- in upcoming elections, was that standard for 18 these briefings to Federal agency officials? 19 Again, different briefings were different, but if 20 you are asking me, do I think today that's relevant 21 information, the answer is yes. 22 I am asking, at the time that you were giving them,
- would that have been a commonplace thing for you to have in your briefing?
- A That is my recollection. But, again, you know,

1	just	because	where	a	וופוו	ıııg	LO	an	agency	negilis	anu	d
^	1	c ·								•	-	

2 briefing to some our group ends can be, you know -- I may not

fuct because where a briefing to an agency begins and a

- 3 remember with perfection whether I gave this group this
- 4 briefing or that group that briefing. I want to be careful
- in answering it so as not to give you false information.
- Q But your recollection today is you gave that kind of information?
- A Generally, I recall giving that kind of information, yes.
 - Q In these briefings to agency officials?
- 11 A In many of these briefings, yes.
- Q Who did you discuss this with in White House
 Counsel's Office?
 - A I recall that Brett Kavanaugh would be the person I would often talk to about this. And when I did not talk to him, my assistant would send him briefings.
- Q Who was your assistant?
- A Kate Walters -- well, it started off as Jennifer

 Oschal for a very short period, and then Kate Walters. Kate

 Marinis Walters. She got married that summer.
- Q I will get back later to your discussions with the counsel, but did you have discussions about giving these briefings with Karl Rove?
- 24 A Yeah.

10

14

15

16

25 Q And what was the content of those discussions?

- A I think we just generally talked about the fact
 that I was going -- on days that I was going to give a
 briefing, I may have mentioned to him I was going to give a
 briefing that day.
- Q Was he aware of what was in the content of the briefings?

- A I mean, certainly he didn't look through -- I don't recall him looking through briefing content, but I may generally have showed him a briefing or he may have showed me one of his briefings just because we often got each other's opinion on things.
- Q Do you know whether Karl Rove was aware that you were giving information about future elections or specific candidates --
- A I do not know that. I don't know the answer to that. Certainly, as I said, I certainly think he has looked at briefings I have done, as I have looked at briefings he has done. But whether what he specifically looked at and which one, I don't have the answer to.
 - Q Was there anyone else who was involved in the initial decision to deliver these briefings?
 - A Well, obviously, the most important people involved in those decisions were the relevant people at the Cabinet Agencies. So depending upon the agency, it would have been the Cabinet Secretary or the chief of staff or the White

- House liaison or whoever the individual was. That would be the most important person.
- 3 Q And who gave the presentations?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A Different presentations were done differently.

 Sometimes it would be -- you would be invited to participate in a preexisting deal that the Cabinet Secretary was doing where he or she would do -- talk about their agenda and a lot of things, and you would come in as a guest. In other cases, as we saw here, the counsel would have been involved. In other cases, I or Matt Schlapp would have been involved.
- 11 Matt was my deputy. In other cases, the White House liaison 12 or the chief of staff may have spoken.
 - Q But the White House liaison and the chief of staff didn't give the kind of briefings that I am talking about, right?
 - A I don't think that they did, but I don't know that they didn't. I mean, in other words, typically if I came with them to a political briefing I would do the politics.

 But whether they used it on their own or kept it or asked for it, I don't recall that specifically, and they very well may have.
- Q What was the role -- what was your role in terms of drafting the presentations?
- A What was my role? I mean, typically what I would do is, I would identify slides that I wanted to have as part

- of the presentation. And if they existed, then we would use them. And if they didn't exist, I would -- we would create them, and we would then have myself or my assistant, what we
- 4 would always try to do is run it by counsel.
- Q So you actually were the person who was drafting the presentation?
- A Well, I would often have others help me draft it.

 I would come up with the concept, and then others would, you

 know, do the bar charts and all.
- 10 Q Who else would assist you with drafting?
 - A Sometimes my assistant would do it, sometimes

 Adrian Gray, who enjoys doing presentations a lot and is into the PowerPoint. Sometimes an intern that worked for me,

 Michael Napolitano, would help with it. Other times people who worked in the office would do it. Other times people who worked in other offices might help.
- 17 Q Adrian Gray wasn't in OPA, right?
- 18 A He was not.

12

13

14

15

- 19 Q He was the surrogate scheduler?
- A He was. And he often came to the presentations.
- Q Why would Adrian Gray come to the presentations?
- A Because obviously one of the things that he focused
 a lot on was surrogate scheduling. And that was a big area
 that I tried to encourage. And so the extent to which you
 have the person who is -- you work with to do surrogate

- scheduling there, obviously is useful to that person being able to do their job.
- Q You said that you encouraged surrogate scheduling.

 Did you encourage surrogate scheduling for campaign events,
- 5 political events; or for official events; or both?
- 6 A Both, as appropriate.
- 7 Q And by appropriate what do you mean?
- A I mean that we needed -- obviously, there are
 two buckets here. One bucket is official, purely official.

 The other -- but then within the official, there are two
- issues. One is, who is paying for it? Taxpayers paying for
- it, or is the political campaign paying for it? That's issue
- one. But then issue two is, is the travel about if it's
- official, is it promoting the President's agenda? Is it
- highlighting a candidate that's doing things that are
- 16 consistent with the President's agenda? Is it on the
- 17 political side, is it a fund raiser? All of these are
- potential issues. So it is appropriate to make sure that the
- right people are paying for it and, based on the pay for
- that, the right things are being said.
- Q And what would be appropriate for official travel being paid for by the agency that highlighted specific
- 23 candidates?
- A Well, I think it would depend on the rules of the
- agency. And each agency has different rules, so that the

counsel of the agency would want to work to make sure that, depending upon that agency rules, and the issue in question, what issue is being promoted. Is it promoting -- is -- if it's about education, for instance, is it highlighting Members of Congress that are very much into the No Child Left Behind law? If it's promoting the faith-based initiative, are you going to places where Members of Congress have set up faith-based councils, where you bring leaders from different communities in and talk about how the faith-based initiative can help them -- help poor folks in their communities? it's about forest health, that was a big issue. President had a proposal, as you may remember, to thin forests so that forest fires wouldn't have as devastating long-term effects on forests in the future. Then you might highlight a Member of Congress that supported that agenda or a local Forest Service person who had real effectiveness in utilizing such an approach to preserving the long-term health of forests. So all of those are potential examples. All of those would have different rules with respect to them, both because of the agency and with respect to the program in question. And so it would be up to the counsel of the agency to work to make sure that who went out, what they said and how it was paid for were all done according to the rules. You had a staff, and some of your staff did these Q briefings; is that correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	A I had a staff. I am trying to remember if they did
2	agency briefings. I think I mostly did them. I and Matt
3	Schlapp mostly did them. He did some for me, but I don't
4	know if the other folks in the office did very many. If they
5	did, it was de minimis. I typically did them or Matt did
6	them.
7	Q How did you instruct Mr. Schlapp on what would be
8	the appropriate content for the briefings?
9	A Well, I recall that the way we did it often was
10	that, like me, we would run the briefing by the Counsel's
11	Office and make sure they were comfortable. And to the
12	extent to which the briefing had been done before in a
13	similar agency, then, you know, make sure it was consistent
14	with what was approved.
15	Q You discussed sort of a four-part purpose
16	A Uh-huh.
17	${ t Q} ext{ } ext{} ext{ to the briefings with Mr. Castor, and I don't}$
18	want to make you repeat it.
19	A I might get it in the wrong order.
20	Q Did you ever discuss that purpose with anyone?
21	A Oh, sure.
22	Q And who?
23	A Well, I remember discussing it I mean, again,
24	the concept of the knowledge who I think I would have

discussed it with -- I am recreating this -- would have been

- the counsel, probably the White House liaisons, probably the
- chief of staff, sometimes the Cabinet Secretary, probably Mr.
- Rove, probably Mr. Card. Again, I am reconstructing this. I
- 4 don't recall specific -- I recall -- I don't recall specific
- 5 conversations, but I am telling you who I think it would have
- 6 made sense for me to have had conversations with.
- 7 Q I want to call your attention to what's been
- 8 previously marked Exhibit 2. It's an e-mail from you to,
- 9 what we have from other records, is a long distribution list.
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q The subject matter is regular political briefings.
- 12 A Right.
- 13 Q In the e-mail, you explain you did a briefing at
- 14 HHS last week on top races, recent polls, et cetera.
- 15 A Yeah.
- 16 Q And you state, because this is a political year,
- 17 regular updated information will be important and
- interesting.
- 19 A Yeah.
- Q Why were you revamping or ramping up your political
- 21 briefings to make them more regular during an election year?
- 22 A Well, because it was an election year, and because
- there were, in my judgment, appropriate and important things
- 24 that folks at agencies could do to assist during the election
- 25 year, and there were also inappropriate things we didn't want

them to do. And the goal was to encourage the former and discourage the latter.

Q What was in the group of appropriate and important things you wanted to encourage them to do?

A Certainly the extent to which Cabinet Secretaries and sub-Cabinet are willing to go out and participate in fundraisers is an appropriate thing to do. Certainly the extent to which -- in some cases. I think there are, some Cabinet Secretaries, as a matter of custom, don't -- the Attorney General doesn't. Secretary of State doesn't.

Second of all, to the extent to which we are talking about where to choose to announce public policy, the extent to which a Cabinet Secretary or sub-Cabinet would want to choose a place where there is a competitive race or a Member that cares about an issue, that's appropriate to do. And that would be an appropriate thing they could do. Third, an employee of an agency, in many cases, if he or she wanted to, could volunteer and help out in a campaign by taking time off. And we wanted to encourage that in a way that was useful to the campaign and legal and appropriate. That was something that they could do. And finally, and critically importantly, good policy is good politics. And things that they could do on issues that were likely to be important to voters that were good policy I thought would have a good

1 ancillary political benefit in many cases.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And did you discuss these four groups of appropriate important things that they could do to help out during these political briefings?

A Again, I am not -- I don't see a presentation in front of me. But those would be the kinds of things you would discuss, what you can do. But more broadly, giving them the lay of the land.

Q And what would be inappropriate?

Well, I think that, again, depending on -- each program is different, but in many programs, deciding that a grant should be awarded to a particular grantee in one place versus another place, or to one applicant versus another applicant because of politics, that could be inappropriate. Not announcing the grant, awarding the grant. There are some cases where that's not appropriate based upon the underlying legislation pursuant to which the grant is provided. could be an example off something inappropriate. Certainly I would not want to see a government employee sitting at his or her computer or his or her office sitting in an office soliciting money. That would be inappropriate. I would not want to see people in an agency encouraging their -- the solicitation of money among their colleagues. That would be something I would have a problem with. I think some young person who tries to be a hot dog and help out in the

- 1 campaign, quote-unquote help out, that would not be
- appropriate. As a guy that has managed a lot of campaigns,
- friendly people that want to show how important they are and
- 4 helpful they are, are often a bigger problem than your
- opponent. And so to have a system in place that gives them a
- 6 way to legally and appropriately help you out I thought was
- 7 very, very, important.

important thing to do.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q And why was it important to have I guess more of these in an election year specifically?
- A Because people -- one, obviously there is an election coming up, and so those issues become more important. Two, people are more likely to want to get involved in things. And so for both those reasons -- again, there is more good you can do in an election year, and there is more bad you can do in election year, and so to encourage the good and discourage the bad at a time when people are

thinking more about politics I thought was a good and

- Q You said those issues were more likely to come up. What issues are you talking about?
 - A Well, all the things we just talked about. In other words, the ability, the importance of helping out, do an event, make an announcement, participate in the campaign, all those things become more relevant in an election year than a non-election year.

1	Q	Okay.	Do you	recal	l whether	you	had a	any	
2	conversati	ions wit	th Karl	Rove	specifical	ly a	about	sort	of
3	ramping up	these	briefir	ngs to	have them	ı reş	gularl	.v?	

A I don't recall a specific conversation, but I certainly think that it would have been consistent with how I did things that I would have said to him, hey, we are going to ramp this stuff up?

Q And what about Matt Schlapp?

- A We would have talked about it a lot, sure.
- Q What would you have done as I guess follow-up after sending this e-mail?

A Well, I think that -- again with this e-mail you said you have a long distribution list. It looks to me like it's probably something that went to -- it went to Ed Ingle at the Cabinet Affairs, and it probably went on a BCC basis to all the different agencies. Because if you CC, then they all respond to each other and you have these awful, you know, e-mails. And Kate, my assistant at the time, would have worked on doing some scheduling. But I thought it was important to make sure that as we start these things, we frame it the right way, which is starting with, here are the rules of the game, to think about this.

Q In the e-mail, you discuss that -- you state. We want to discuss targets, how people can help, our plan for coordinated activities, and most importantly, what's

- 1 appropriate and legal.
- 2 A Yes.

Q What did you mean when you said we want to discuss targets?

A We wanted to discuss the races, the places -- A, the races that are likely to be the closest; B, the races where help is most important and needed; and C, the places where different public policy issues were likely to have resonance with people, and therefore with voters.

Q And what would be the importance of discussing the upcoming close races with these agency officials?

A Well, one importance is if -- again, the inherent predisposition of a cabinet secretary is to go do fundraisers only on their committee of jurisdiction's districts. And the extent to which we can encourage a Cabinet Secretary to also do a fundraiser or make an announcement in another competitive race would be something that we would want to encourage. And that would be an example of something that's important. An individual in a race -- it is not dissimilar to what Mr. Van Hollen does with respect to Frontline, where you are trying to highlight to people here are the places where help can make the most difference. Did I get Frontline right? Isn't it Frontline?

Mr. <u>Ross.</u> Yeah.

BY MS. SACHSMAN:

1	Q Why would you be briefing I guess all of the
2	schedule C's about those targets? What would be the
3	importance of all of the schedule C's?
4	A Well, I am a big believer that it is incredibly
5	disheartening to go in and say, you know, as long as you are
6	legal and appropriate to be in a hearing, to say below a
7	certain level we are not going to include you in this
8	briefing, I don't think is a right thing to do. And schedule
9	C's can do lots of appropriate things to help out in the
10	campaign on their voluntary time. They can help think about
11	things they can do that are official and political. And you
12	wanted them to be part of it, too.
13	[1:59 p.m.]
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	RPIS JURA
2	DCMN MAYER
3	BY MS. SACHSMAN:
4	Q What did you mean when you said you wanted to
5	discuss our plan for coordinated activities?
6	A I wanted to discuss how we would work together to
7	make sure that folks were being held to the extent that
8	people had time, they were able to be helpful where it was
9	going to be most useful, and what was appropriate and what
10	was legal. That was all part of it.
11	Q And EMO Office states that agency general counsels
12	would be invited to the White House, to have meetings with
13	White House counsel to go over the ground rules. Who are the
14	White House counsel involved in that meeting?
15	A I don't remember that particular meeting.
16	Obviously, Brett Kavanaugh would have been the one, but I
17	just don't remember the specifics.
18	Q Do you recall whether that was the only meeting or
19	whether there were more meetings?
20	A I don't know the answer to that. I know there was
21	regular coordination, but I don't remember about the meeting
22	part of it.
23	Q What was the content of the advice that was given
24	by White House counsel to agency counsel?
25	A I was not there. I don't recall being there that

- day for that particular meeting, so I can't answer that question.
 - Q Did you ever give advice to agencies about what would or would not be appropriate activities for them to do?
- 5 A My advice was, talk to counsel.
- Q Counsel at the agency, or counsel at the White
 House?
- A Talk to counsel at the agency. And I also would very often alert White House counsel to make sure that they were in the loop as well.
- 11 Q Did you think it was important to have counsel at
 12 the agency involved in discussions about what agency
 13 officials should and should not be doing in terms of
 14 electoral --
- 15 A Yes.

- 16 Q -- issues?
- 17 A Yeah.
- 18 Q And why would that be important?
- A Because different agencies have different rules and mandates with respect to what they can and can't do. And we would want to make sure that it was appropriate for what they wanted to do.
- Q Is there some danger of them not being involved and consulted?
- A Again, I think that every agency is different. And

- I think that the key is to make sure you have a system in place, whereby either White House counsel's talking to them
- or their chief of staffs talk to them, or they are in
- 4 briefings.
- Q Would this kind of consultation between White House counsel and agency counsel over these ground rules be something that you thought was appropriate and important to continue on throughout the administration?
- 9 A I mean, I can only speak to when I was there.
- 10 Again, I tend to -- I think, just by nature and by training,
- I am -- if the goal line is at 50, I want to be at 30. You
- 12 know, I am pretty careful and I tend -- as a lawyer, I like
- having lawyers around who are expert in the particular area.
- I may tend to over-lawyer things, but that is how I do
- 15 things.
- 16 Q You mentioned that -- well, when the White House
- 17 counsel reviewed specific slide show presentations of yours,
- 18 you have stated that they edited them.
- 19 A Mm-hmm.
- Q Do you recall how they edited them?
- 21 A No. I just recall that they would -- I recall
- often I would go in and say, tear it apart. I wanted them
- edited. I wanted -- I like people paying active attention to
- 24 what I am doing when I am talking, and I would have wanted
- them to spend real time thinking about it.

1	Q Do you recall whether they provided you any advice
2	about where they should be given or when they should be
3	given?
4	A Well, what I recall, as a matter of course what we
5	would often do is, my assistant or I would often explain the
6	nature of the invitation, and they would look at it and
7	consider it in that context.
8	Q Did they have any standard advice about where or
9	when they should be given?
10	A No. Because each thing was different. Each agency
11	is different, each presentation is different.
12	Q Do you recall any specific instance when they gave
13	you guidance?
14	A I don't recall again, this is you are talking
15	about a long pretty far time ago. I don't recall specific
16	guidance they provided. I just recall providing it to them,
17	and them editing and making changes and all that. Which, by
18	the way, continued.
19	When I was at the RNC, I would often I would send my
20	presentations often to counsel for them to look at, too.
21	Which was not even agency briefings, just I like lawyers to
22	look at things.
23	Q Did they ever give you any guidance in writing?
24	A I don't recall. They may have. I don't recall the

answer to that.

1	Q When you were giving these briefings, would you
2	consider that to be part of your official activities?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And the people who attended the briefings, would
5	you consider that to be part of their official activities?
6	A I think it depends on the individual and it depends
7	on the agency and what the rules with respect to that
8	individual were. Which is why the invitations would go out
9	from the agency chief of staff or liaison as opposed to
10	coming out from us.
11	Q So there was a distinction between who sent out the
12	invitation?
13	A My understanding was that the way that this worked
14	would be, we would say, we'll do a briefing; and then they
15	figured out who they wanted to invite based on what the
16	individuals that they were inviting, what they were able to
17	do or not do.
18	So the question is not who does the inviting, it is who
19	gets invited, which the agency leadership can make the
20	judgment about based on what's appropriate or not appropriate
21	for them to participate in.

Did you use a standard presentation?

question. You do Power Point, but -- standard is a strong

word. You try to have some common theme to it so you are not

I mean, I did Power -- again, it's like a speech

Q

22

23

24

- 1 rewriting it every time, or else it's a bad use -- you know.
- 2 But you change it based on who you are talking to, sure.
 - Q And could you say how many sort of different briefings you gave?

A I can't.

Again, part of what also you are dealing with is the fact that I also gave briefings to donors and gave briefings to, sometimes, the Hill and gave briefings to lots of different people.

Q How are the briefings that you gave to agencies different than the briefings that you give to donors?

A Often the information was different and what you talk about was different and what you'd emphasize is different. But, again, you can't -- when you say agencies, there were different briefings for different agencies, where things would be added and removed, and there were different briefings for donors as well based, on who you were talking to. If I was talking to donors from California, I would probably talk more about California and the political prospects out there than I would other places.

Q Did the agency tell you what sort of message was appropriate for the audience that it had invited?

A I think that -- and, again, I don't recall the specific agency conversations. But there would be a general discussion of what the agency's about, what we should focus

- on. Then the White House Counsel's Office, my understanding,
- often talked to the agency counsel and made sure that they
- 3 were comfortable with what was happening. And we would tell
- 4 the agency what we were thinking about so that they could
- 5 make decisions about who to invite.
- Q When you spoke with White House counsel, do you
- 7 recall them ever raising concerns?
- 8 A I recall them -- no. I recall them, because if --
- 9 if they raised concerns, I would then say, well, then how do
- 10 we change it?
- 11 Q I guess -- do you recall any of the concerns that
- 12 they had?
- 13 A No. I think that the biggest thing I recall them
- thinking it was important for me to do was to emphasize the
- importance of running it by counsel within the agency. The
- importance of importing people to -- telling people to follow
- 17 the rules. The importance of saying, you know -- the
- importance of being careful around grant decisions.
- But, again, each agency is different and each employee
- in the agency is different. So if you just have a blanket
- 21 across-the-board admonition, as a guy that doesn't work in
- the agency I think that is dangerous, too, because then one
- person at one level could be encouraged to do something that
- 24 he or she is not supposed to do, whereas someone at another
- level is supposed to do it.

1	So the biggest thing I could say to them was, work with
2	counsel, work with counsel, work with counsel. Because each
3	agency is different, each subpart of the agency is different,
4	and the individual is different based on the level that
5	they're at.

Q Did White House counsel advise you to conduct a briefing after work hours?

A I am trying to remember. Briefings were often during the day, but there were briefings we did after work hours.

Q I guess, did you do them after work hours for a reason, or was that just more convenient?

A I can't answer whether it was more scheduling based or more counsel based. I don't know the answer to that.

Q When you used your, I guess, slide show presentations, were you generally just discussing what was on the slide? Or were the slides an outline of your presentation?

A You were discussing what was on the slides, but the slides are also an outline. I mean, it's a mixture. I mean, if all you do is repeat what's on the slides, people pay less attention in my experience. So you need to add some other facts that add more color to it.

Q At the time that you were giving a briefing to specific agency officials, did you know whether those agency

officials were there on what they considered to be official business or political?

A Each agency is different. That is the kind of thing that, again, I don't recall specifically. But that is -- on the outer level of knowledge, that is the kind of thing that I recall, generally, is that would have been opened by someone at the agency who would have said, here's how this stuff works. Here's -- it's at noon; this is your lunch today. Or, you know, it is after work. Or, it's during the day.

And they would have made that kind of -- again, for me as an outsider to say, this counts as this or this counts as that, I think would have been highly inappropriate. And because different people in the agencies are under different rules, that would have been inappropriate.

- Q If you were not at the time aware of what the rules were for the specific agency, how are you able to tailor your presentation so that it was appropriate?
- A Because we would have discussed up front what was appropriate to talk about, A.
- B, it would have been run by White House counsel, who would have talked to agency counsel.
 - C, before I spoke, others would have talked about that.
- And D, in some cases they would have said to me, you know, emphasize this or don't emphasize that. So those are

- 1 the four different ways.
- Q Who would have had that conversation to discuss
- what was appropriate beforehand? Would that have been you
- 4 with the White House liaison, the chief of staff?
- 5 A I don't recall specifically. But sometimes it
- 6 would have been Matt, sometimes it would have been me,
- 7 sometimes it would have been White House counsel and their
- 8 counsel.
- 9 Q And who at the agency would have been included in
- 10 this discussion?
- A Again, different times, different people, depending
- on the agency and depending on the circumstance.
- 13 Q Let me call your attention to the specific briefing
- 14 that we have. Exhibit 4?
- 15 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q And the first page has your name on it, and July
- 17 12, 2001, Political Briefings?
- 18 A Right.
- 19 Q Our records show that this briefing was given to
- White House liaisons and chiefs of staffs from a number of
- 21 different agencies at the White House, including DOJ.
- Treasury, Commerce, Ed, Energy, EPA, HHS, HUD, Interior,
- Labor, State, Department of the Defense, and more; and that
- 24 it was given in the Indian Treaty Room.
- A Mm-hmm.

- Q Does that assist you at all in being able to recall this specific briefing?
- A I mean, I did a number of briefings in the Indian

 Treaty Room. That makes sense to me. But the specifics of

 that day and -- you know, I don't have a better recollection

 of --
- 7 Q Let's turn to the media markets --
- 8 A Okay.
- Q -- page. You have got a page here that is entitled Key Media Markets.
- 11 A Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

- 12 Q What made these media markets key?
- A Well, I thought -- and, again, I think that these
 media markets are key in part because of the fact that they
 are places that were very competitive in the previous
 election and in part because these are the places where a lot
 of issues we were talking about were going to be most closely
 fought.
 - So elections -- again, it gets back to what we were talking about before with Mr. Castor, the uniqueness of the 2000 election, which is -- that happened since 1960, 40 years ago -- was that the divisions that you had, the partisan divisions, were also the issues divisions in the country.
 - Florida was the closest State in the court because, basically, the left and the right in Florida cancel each

- other out if you look at the demography of the State. And unlike in '76 or other close elections where you had essentially a regional candidate that took advantage of his or her regional strengths, this election was won where both politically and from an issues perspective the two sides were So these would be places that were very close both politically and also very close from a likely issues perspective.
 - Q These wouldn't be, I guess, the key media markets where you're likely to get the most press. It sounds like instead they are more competitive races areas?

A Well, it's a combination. It's a combination of factors. And to be honest with you, you look at some of these places, you know, the ability to get press is relevant to some degree. That is something you have to think about.

You know, one of the advantages -- and I always would tell people this -- of going to announce something in a smaller media market -- you know, if you are doing something in New York or L.A. or even Philly or Detroit, it's much harder to get attention than if you do something in another place. So it's a combination of them.

Q How come there are different tiers?

A Based on, again, the relative importance and the relative ability to -- part of that is the relative ability to cut through media. And New Mexico, Nevada, Arkansas are

1 places that is easier to cut through the media.

- Q I guess I am still a little confused. What were these important to?
- A Both -- and, again, we are reconstructing this, and that's important as we talk about this.

This is not -- I didn't just create this presentation.

This is a 7-year-old presentation or 6-year-old presentation.

So you are asking me today to recall what I was thinking when this was built. And my thought is, what it looks to me like is these are places that, A, are most on the razor's edge in terms of the issues we are debating and discussing in the country; and B, most on the razor's edge from a political perspective.

Q What was the purpose of showing this slide during this presentation?

A Again, reconstructing today what I think it probably was, was to say here are the places where, going to travel, you are likely to get the biggest bang for your buck in terms of media, in terms of where the President's agenda needs the most buttressing and where, frankly, we have had competitive races in the past and things are likely to be competitive in the future.

Q Did you ever discuss with anyone at the White
House -- I guess other than White House counsel, who I assume
you discussed this with -- whether to include this key media

- 1 market information?
- A I don't remember if I discussed it or not.
- Q Let's look at the next page, Competitive House

 4 Districts.
- 5 A Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q Why did you include this list of competitive races
with names of candidates and districts in the briefing?

Α Well, first of all, instead of candidates, what 8 9 looks to me like what we're talking about is names of sitting Congressmen or Congresswomen. And I think that, again, this 10 11 is designed to focus on a couple things. One is places that 12 in the past have had very competitive elections. And, second 13 of all, places where the -- places, in my experience, where 14 there are competitive elections are places where the 15 politician is most uncertain as to where he or she will be on 16 issues, A. And, B, places where you can help in the future 17 in a way that is appropriate.

So it has an issues element to it and a political element to it.

- Q And what was the political element?
- A The political element is places that -- these are places to think about for help with the fund-raiser if you need help; for places to think about in an appropriate way to make announcement and make travel, et cetera.
- Q By making announcement, what do you mean?

1	A By announcing, by promoting good public policy,
2	highlighting a Member of Congress who's in the right place or
3	a good public policy issue.
4	Q In these specific areas?
5	A Yeah.
6	Q And what about, "making travel" you said?
7	A Absolutely.
8	Q What do you mean by that?
9	A Going to visit in order to, A, help out a Member
10	with respect to fund-raising. Or, B, going to visit to
11	highlight a popular or public policy issue that is associated
12	with that Member or associated with the President.
13	Q You said previously that you wouldn't include this
14	kind of future election information in all of your briefings
15	Can you give me an example of an audience or situation where
16	you did not or you would not mention specific candidates?
17	A Well, I mean, again it depends on just how much
18	information you are talking about. Certainly, early in 2001
19	or early in early in a cycle where you don't have as many
20	races locked in, you are less likely to talk about
21	candidates. That is one example.
22	You know, you are speaking to an audience about
23	issues if you're speaking to an audience that cares a
24	great deal about health care, I probably wouldn't have

focused on candidates as much. You want to inform the

audience about what's most useful and interesting to them, so you thought about that, too.

- Q Was there any action that you wanted the recipients of the briefings to take?
- A Depends on who the recipients were. But certainly, as I indicated, if you are talking about a number of the briefings, the goal was to find -- to find appropriate ways they could be helpful in either, A, promoting the President's agenda, or B, helping people that were political allies in their elections in appropriate and legal ways.
- Q Did OPA have a practice of not e-mailing these briefings out to agency officials?
- 13 A I don't think so. I think we would often e-mail
 14 them out.
 - Well, actually here's the thing. We sometimes e-mailed them out. We were -- certainly after the famous disk incident, I was very paranoid about e-mailing presentations around.
 - Q And was there information within these briefings that was private that you would not want publicly exposed?
 - A No. But I received a call after -- the United States Senators after the famous disk incident, who were not pleased that they may have been listed on a list of people to watch because they have problems.
- Q And the disk incident was when Karl Rove's briefing

was made public?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

24

- A Well, it was when an intern copied a copy of our
 presentation onto a public -- onto a computer in a hotel and
 left it on the hotel's drive. And somebody else copied it
- and took it, and it ended up in the Washington Post.
- 6 Q When did that occur?
- A It occurred in the summer of -- it was like the spring of 2001. It was CNN breaking news for a while.
 - Q Were you involved in any discussions about treating

 Department of Justice differently than other agencies?
 - A I recall generally not doing these things at the Department of Justice, and I recall generally the people I knew at the Department of Justice and I agreeing we shouldn't do it.
 - Q And why was that?
- A My understanding is, it's custom more than anything else. But customarily the Department of Justice hasn't been involved in these things. And I think it is good they haven't been involved in these things.
 - Q Were there any other agencies with similar restrictions that were excluded?
 - A The Defense Department was excluded from that, my understanding is. And I think we were careful about how we handled both Treasury and State. And, also, part of it is this. You wanted to make sure that the Cabinet Secretary

- was -- wanted this to happen and was comfortable with this
 happening.
 - Q We have seen Department of Justice officials' sort of discussions about these. And it appears like the Department of Justice did not have someone come to the Department of Justice to give a briefing to all their schedule Cs, but that the Department of Justice White House liaisons would come to the White House and receive --

9 A Sure.

- Q -- the same information in these kinds of briefings. For example, the Department of Justice was at your July 12, 2001, briefing that we are looking at.
- A I don't recall the specifics, but that sounds like exactly the appropriate approach to me.
 - Q Why would that be appropriate?

A Because the job of the White House liaison, just as a senior level official at the agency, is to keep informed of things and know about things, and make sure that everybody else in the agency is doing things in an appropriate way.

And I think, just like in a Cabinet meeting, everything is discussed in front of all the Cabinet Secretaries. It's the job of the Cabinet official in particular, the Secretary, or the General in this case, to go back and then decide which stuff -- how he or she wants to disseminate that information to the agency.

Q	Wou	ld	it	hav	e	been	yοι	ır	decis	ion	to	ir	ıv i	te	the	
Department	of	Ju	ısti	ice	of	ficia	als	to	this	kin	d	of	a	bri	efin	ıg.

A No. Again, based on what we discussed before, my sense is, it probably would have been a Cabinet affairs briefing. This was not a Ken Mehlman presentation. Well, it was. But this was a Cabinet liaison meeting that I was invited to participate in. So they would have made decisions about who to invite.

Q Let's turn to travel for a couple minutes. What were the criteria used for deciding what events to suggest that an agency had traveled to?

A Well, there was, one, the President's agenda.

There are two different areas to travel. There is the President's agenda, which is what we spent a lot of time in 2001 and early 2002 focusing on traveling to promoting No Child Left Behind, promoting the tax cuts, promoting forest health, promoting discussion of those kinds of issues. So that would be a big part of what we would spend time on.

And then there is also -- and so you decide that based on where audiences are that are most interested in those issues.

And the second thing you do is you travel to places that the races, where the need is the greatest and where the Member of Congress can use the help the most and will take advantage of the help the most.

1	Q And would that include I understand. I want to
2	focus on the traveling to the races where the need is the
3	greatest.
4	A Yeah.
5	Q I understand, in part, that includes fund-raising
6	travel?
7	A Sure.
8	Q But would that also include official events with
9	candidates?
10	A Yeah. Well, with candidates. Again, my
11	recollection is, when you are doing official events, the
12	official event ought to be with the sitting Member. But each
13	agency is different.
14	Q And
15	A But, yes, it would include those considerations.
16	Q Did you ever consider as one of the factors for
17	suggesting these official events with incumbent Members
18	whether that travel would help the Republican incumbent get
19	reelected?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And why did you think that was appropriate?
22	A Because I think that that is a big part of what ou

job was. Our job was to find appropriate ways to help the

President's agenda and help the President's allies. And as

you know, there is -- highlighting good public policy in

23

24

places that would help an ally, I thought was entirely
appropriate.

Also, our job was to look for equally appropriate ways that agency personnel could help with purely political activities, and that included things like fund-raising. And, in my judgment, doing it in a coordinated way as opposed to a haphazard way made it less likely to have legal issues, and more likely that the people who needed the help would get the help.

I mean, often vulnerable incumbents are vulnerable for a reason. And providing those people with help that they might not be able to get for themselves was a good and important thing to do.

Q You said that you worked with staff like Adrian Gray to schedule and coordinate this travel.

A Well, to suggest places where -- to simultaneously, A, suggest places where they might consider traveling; and B, serve as a point of reference, when you decided to do the travel, to make sure that folks in our office could be in touch with the campaigns; to make sure that, A, that the Cabinet Secretary was wanted; and B, could be useful; and C, that the Cabinet Secretary would have a decent experience.

I mean, this was a huge -- again, this was a big issue. In every cycle you have people, as I indicated before, who aren't necessarily equipped to be able to deal with the

- 1 Cabinet Secretary.
- Q When you suggested this kind of travel, did you
- 3 make a distinction whether you were -- did you make a
- 4 distinction whether you were suggesting political travel or
- 5 official travel?
- 6 A Well, the political travel part of it, sure.
- 7 mean, we looked at who needed money and where people could
- 8 sell.
- 9 And, you know, here's the thing. If you asked the
- typical candidate, do you want -- they'll have 700 people in
- 11 every day of the week, and the events will be all bad and
- 12 everyone will be all upset. And, you know, rules get broken
- and stuff like that.
- So what I wanted is a system that said, let's make sure
- that the rules are followed, A. And, B, let's make sure we
- don't have on the same day the Vice President, the First
- 17 Lady, and the Secretary of Commerce all in the same media
- market all doing a fund-raiser because the Congressman thinks
- 19 that he or she can do all those things. Because my
- 20 experience is, it can't be.
- 21 I will also say we worked closely in this process with
- Mr. Davis, who was in command of the NRCC, and also with the
- Speaker's Office to make sure that they were part of this
- 24 whole loop, to make sure everybody was comfortable.
- 25 So that was what it was about. It was making sure all

1 those things were happening.

Q Did you provide different instructions to your

staff for working on fund-raisers versus working on official

events?

A Well, yes. The key with the fund-raiser was, A, was it appropriate? Which they would -- which the Cabinet officer and his or her general counsel would make the determination with respect to; and B, can they handle from a capacity perspective. And, I mean, you know, you all have the same thing. There are people that when the President would go in and do an event, a week before it would look like it would be a very unsuccessful event and you had to figure out what to do.

And let me also -- the first point I made, which I wasn't -- I want to just reemphasize this. The key issue is how it's paid for, in my opinion. And that is why having counsel of the agency involved was very important and having them talk among themselves.

So what we would say is, here are the places where help is needed. Here are the places where fund-raising help is needed. Here are the places where issues are important. And consistent with this, then it is up to the Cabinet agency to figure out how to do it, whether to do it, how to pay for it, et cetera.

Q And that is the kind of thing you discussed in

these political briefings?

A No. Political briefings are much more big picture than that. Here are the races, here are the issues in the races.

Two hundred people sitting at the Department of Commerce don't need to get into -- it would create a mass of people doing officious and unnecessary and annoying things in the middle of things. So you wanted to keep -- the briefings were about informing people about the issues, first; where the President stands, second; the agenda, third, and some of the key races, fourth, in many cases. Again, the key races not always being part of it.

What I am talking about is the travel aspect, which is, how does it work; and working with the NRCC and the Speaker to make sure that they find it to be a useful thing.

Q In what kind of context would you give these suggestions? Would you meet with chiefs of staff and heads of agencies? Would you --

A Different -- sometimes telephone conversations would occur. Sometimes memos that would go out from Adrian or other people. Sometimes other things. I mean, it was all different.

23 MR. ROSS: Let me just -- what is your timing like? 24 [Recess.]

1	DI IIS. SACISIMIN.
2	Q All right. Back on the record.
3	You stated that you, when you were discussing travel,
4	would discuss where help was needed?
5	A Yeah.
6	Q How did you determine where help was needed? Did
7	OPA have its own list?
8	A Usually working with the NRCC. Again, it would
9	have been unproductive for the NRCC to have one set of focus
10	areas and the administration to have another one. And I
11	viewed what we were doing as being complementary to the
12	Speaker going in and people like that.
13	Q And this would be a list by the NRCC of vulnerable
14	Republican incumbents?
15	A Vulnerable, and battleground races, and States and
16	places where they agreed the most help was needed and where
17	the most help would be effectively used.
18	Q You mentioned that at times you would reach out to
19	agency officials about travel by memo and by a memo from
20	Adrian Gray?
21	A Or by just generally, here are some I recall,
22	and I don't here are some priorities, here are some areas.
23	Here are places where your help could be useful.
24	Q In those instances when you reached out by memo, do
25	you recall if that was to specific agencies or if there was a

- general memo that went out to a lot of agencies?
- 2 A No. What I recall is it was usually specific
- 3 agencies.
- 4 Q What specific agencies?
- A The ones that are appropriate to be having those
 kind of discussions with, A; and B, where that -- you know,
 sending the Secretary of Agriculture to Detroit probably
 makes less sense than the Secretary of Agriculture going to
- 9 South Dakota. That kind of thing.
- Q Specifically, though, I am asking about the memos.

 Would you send the memos to a large set of Cabinet agencies
- or just to --
- 13 A Different times.
- 14 Q -- certain ones?
- Again, stepping back. This is the third -- I have 15 not seen a memo and I don't remember a specific memo. But 16 what I think -- what I recall generally would have been, you 17 send something out that talks about the -- to appropriate 18 agencies, prior areas, A. And, B, sometimes when there are 19 specific requests for the Cabinet Secretary, you send a memo 20 21 that describes the specific request from a particular Member 22 or district.
- 23 Q Okay.
- MS. SACHSMAN: I want to mark this as Exhibit 5.
- 25 [Mehlman Exhibit No. 5

Ī	Was marked for identification.]
2	BY MS. SACHSMAN:
3	Q Exhibit 5 is not a memo that involves you, but I
4	want to show you the memo from 2006, just to see if what you
5	were doing was similar, or different in some ways, to get a
6	little context.
7	MR. ROSS: This is one of the differences between an
8	interview and a deposition. If you would hand us a document
9	in a deposition starting out by saying, This doesn't involve
10	you, this would be the point that I would object.
11	MR. MEHLMAN: But you are not objecting.
12	MR. CASTOR: I'll listen to the question about why a
13	memo described as not involving Ken Mehlman is a proper
14	subject for questioning.
15	MS. SACHSMAN: Sure.
16	MR. CASTOR: And just for the record, I would say that
17	I've sat through a number of depositions and nondeposition
18	depositions, as we have come to call those transcribed
19	interviews, and I've still been able to not figure out the
20	difference, so
21	MS. SACHSMAN: Why don't I just ask the question, and we
22	can move on so we don't waste any more time?
23	MR. MEHLMAN: Okay.
24	BY MS. SACHSMAN:
25	Q This is a memo from October 17 of 2006, from Sara

1	Taylor, who obviously was the head of OPA after you?
2	A Mm-hmm.
3	Q And Mindy McLaughlin who was the surrogate
4	scheduler after Adrian Gray?
5	A Two after both of us.
6	Q Okay. Who was in between?
7	A I don't know who the surrogate scheduler was.
8	Q Okay. And this was sent to Doug Simon, who is the
9	White House liaison for ONDCP, and we've seen similar memos
10	like these sent out in 2006. This memo discusses sort of
11	has a list of suggested events and their status.
12	Is this the kind of memo that you are talking about
13	Adrian Gray sending out?
14	A I don't recall the specifics of what our memos look
15	like. So I think this memo looks to me, my opinion is, like
16	an appropriate memo with respect to official activities, but
17	I don't recall what our specific memos looked like.
18	MR. ROSS: Is that appropriate or inappropriate?
19	MR. MEHLMAN: Appropriate.
20	MR. ROSS: But, again, I am going to object to sort of
21	questioning on this memo.
22	This is something the committee has tried to make some
23	press on. If you are asking about the format, which is a
24	listing of dates and events to ask whether that helps refresh
25	any recollection of memos Ken might have done, that is one

- thing. If you are going to ask him about a memo regarding
 Director Walters' travel in 2006, I don't see what the basis
- of it would be at the point.
- 4 MS. SACHSMAN: I am done asking questions. That's all I was trying to use it for.
- 6 MR. ROSS: Okay.
- 7 BY MS. SACHSMAN:
- Q You briefly discussed sort of that you did -- and correct me if I am wrong, paraphrasing you -- numerous sort of asset deployment activities when you were the head of OPA, but that you didn't recall there being specific asset deployment meetings. Is that correct?
- 13 A Right.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q What kinds of asset deployment activities were you doing?
 - A Well, helping to figure out good places for members of the Cabinet and sub-Cabinet to go is an example of that, as far as I'm concerned. Figuring out where the President and Vice President should travel for political events is an example of that, both political events to help candidates and also political events to promote the agenda. Deciding which members of the -- which -- working with the NRCC to decide which House candidates ought to be invited to get footage at the White House, you know, in the -- for campaign ads in the Rose Garden. Where they walk along the portico is an example

- of all those, for example.
- Q Did you do asset deployment activities that
- 3 specifically involved Federal agency officials?
- 4 A Sure. The Cabinet Secretaries.
- Q And I guess, when you describe asset deployment
- 6 activities, did you consider, I guess, the Cabinet
- 7 Secretaries to be assets in that?
- 8 A Yeah. As is the President.
- 9 I mean, the point is, they are all -- they are all
- 10 assets of the administration on behalf of potentially the
- education agenda, on behalf of the tax cut agenda, on behalf
- of the forest health agenda, on behalf of helping elect
- Republican allies. And the key is to intelligently and
- legally and appropriately and strategically figure out who
- goes where so that you don't have seven people in one place
- not following the rules.
- 17 Q And you had mentioned previously that part of what
- 18 you discussed with these White House liaisons at these
- 19 briefings was where to make announcements, coordinating
- 20 making announcements. Is that correct?
- A In some cases.
- Q Why would you involve yourself in coordinating
- 23 where to make announcements?
- A Well, I don't know that I coordinated where to make
- 25 announcements. I think what we tried to do is suggest places

- where either the issues agenda was particularly likely to
 resonate with people or there were likely to be competitive
 races where allies supported particular issues, and then for
 the consideration of the relevant official in the Cabinet.
 - Q Did you suggest to White House liaisons or to agency heads that announcements be made in conjunction with incumbent Republicans who were vulnerable?

A It depends on who the incumbent Republican was. It depends on what the issue was. It depends on what the announcement was.

Q Would there have been instances, though, when you would have done that?

A Where we would have said -- announced "X" because they are vulnerable -- I mean, again I think what we tried to do was give them as much information as we could about where issues and where elected officials cared about certain issues, for them to help, ideally, make prioritization when they could decide what to announce.

- Q And was one of the factors in that decision-making where people were in tight races?
 - A In some cases.

- Q And why would that have been an appropriate factor?
- A Because that was a big part of our job.

Our job is to figure out where are appropriate and strategic ways that members of the administration can help

- advance the President's agenda or help elect allies of the
- 2 President. And by our being in a coordinating approach to
- 3 some of this and suggesting an approach to some of it, I
- 4 thought it was important to avoid, one, legal mistakes, and
- 5 two, a waste of resources and time.
- 6 Q Did you seek advice from White House counsel about
- 7 whether it was appropriate for OPA to involve itself in
- 8 travel?
- 9 A Yeah.
- 10 Q In this way?
- 11 A Yeah.
- 12 Q And who did you speak to at White House counsel?
- 13 A I recall speaking to Brett Kavanaugh about many
- 14 aspects of our mission.
- 15 Q And what was --
- 16 A And also -- I didn't mean to interrupt you. I'm
- sorry.
- And similarly, counsel would talk to other counsel at
- 19 the agencies as we did our presentations and talked about
- things people could do to be helpful.
- Q And what was the advice that White House counsel
- gave to you in terms of what you could suggest in terms of
- 23 travel?
- 24 A Again, each presentation was different. But often
- part of my discussion would be: Here's what you can do to be

helpful. And they would tell me what you can say, what you can't say, how you can say it, et cetera.

Q So would you discuss with White House counsel every time before you went out to have a conversation with an agency head? Or are you talking specifically about discussing with them before you did the political presentation?

A No. Often what I would do is, before I went and did the presentations, I would often -- as a matter of course, I tried to always -- I hope we did it most of the time -- show it to counsel and make sure they were comfortable with both the substance of the presentation and what I planned to say in addition to the presentation.

Similarly, they were very much informed about the -- about the activities we were engaged in. And I encouraged everyone on the staff, whatever they were working on, to make sure that they ran it by White House counsel.

- Q What about the subject matter of suggesting where agency heads should make grant announcements? Did you run that by White House counsel?
- MR. ROSS: First of all, I think if you go back in the record, what you had said before were just "announcements." You have now inserted the word "grant," which I don't think you had used before.

You may want to separate the questions, because there

1	are lot	s of	announcements	that	people	make	that	are	not	grant
2	related									

MS. SACHSMAN: Sure.

BY MS. SACHSMAN:

Q Did you discuss with White House counsel the suggestions to agency heads about making announcements?

A We discussed all the different -- back to the knowledge thing. We are reconstructing from 6 years ago. What I recall is discussing with the White House counsel nearly all aspects of what I was doing as political director, what I was discussing with people. And so the answer to that question, I recall, would have been "yes."

Q Do you recall any guidance that they gave you about suggesting announcements?

A I recall that each agency is different and each program is different. And so that was the approach that we tried to take. There wasn't a one-size-fits-all, do it this way. Instead, it was: Be very cautious, be very careful, constantly talk to the counsel of your agency and make sure what you are doing is appropriate to the unique circumstance you find yourself in -- unique circumstance either because of who you are, where you work, what the agency is, what the program or question is you are trying to promote, or whether you are trying to promote a particular candidate.

Q And when you made these suggestions about where to

1	make announcements, did you make suggestions about where to
2	make what kind of announcements were you talking about?
3	A Different kinds of announcements. Sometimes it
4	involved public policy. Sometimes it involved helping to
5	highlight that a particular candidate is or particular
6	officeholder, excuse me, is good on an issue.
7	And other times it involved other issues.
8	Q Did it ever involve grant announcements?
9	A I don't recall specifically a grant announcement
10	effort. But I certainly think that it would have been
11	entirely appropriate if it had. And I wouldn't be surprised
12	if it had.
13	Q Do you recall what guidance you received, if any,
14	about coordinating activities with ONDCP specifically?
15	A I don't recall anything with respect to that eithe
16	way.
17	MS. SACHSMAN: With that, I think I am done with this
18	round.
19	MR. MEHLMAN: Thank you for your time.
20	MS. SACHSMAN: Thank you very much.
21	BY MR. CASTOR.
22	Q I wanted to go back a little bit and perhaps
23	unconflate something that has been, in my view, conflated?
24	A All right.
25	Q And walk through the distinction between the

official business of the agency, the decision-making that occurs in the various Federal buildings around Washington and out in the country, and what the Office of Political Affairs then does with that information once an official governmental action has been implemented, announced, an intention to announce, that sort of thing.

Isn't it fair to say that the Office of Political Affairs will monitor the goings on of the Federal agencies?

A Of a number of the Federal agencies, yes.

Q And to the extent there might be an opportunity after an official governmental decision has been made, if there is an opportunity for the Office of Political Affairs to draw attention to that decision, do press on that decision; that, in effect, is the time when OPA would get in the mix, so to speak, with announcements and public events?

A That's right. That's right. I also think at the same time we were a place that decision-makers could come, particularly decision-makers in the White House policy shop, to ask what we thought the likely constituencies would be --what the reactions of those constituencies would be with respect to public policies we took.

And I was particularly, as political director, focused on making sure our office was an open door to people in both parties. And if you look -- you talk to some of the folks in Washington that lead some of the unions, if you look at some

1	of the African-American and Hispanic groups around town, we
2	were a place that folks, whether you were Democrats or
3	Republicans, liberals or conservatives, could come, get a
4	fair hearing, be treated with respect, and a very open-door
5	policy. And I am very proud of that, and I think that served
6	policy makers well, and it served the President well.
7	Q And is it fair to say that the type of politicking
8	was more of a politicking with a small "P", reaching out to
9	understand the interests of the constituencies?
10	A Yes, it was. Although there was politicking, with
11	a big "P", that we tried to work with people to make sure
12	that it was done in an appropriate way. But it was mostly
13	politicking with a small "p", what I think we are talking
14	about here.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	KPIS JUHNSUN
2	DCMN BURRELL
3	[2:56 p.m.]
4	Q And you know, I look at the distinction perhaps
5	being and maybe you can agree or disagree that when you
6	get into advocating for the election or defeat of a specific
7	candidate, that's a different type of
8	A No question.
9	Q politicking than understanding where different
10	viewpoints are that the President might need to consider when
11	implementing his policies?
12	A No question. And both can be done appropriately by
13	administration officials. But there are different rules with
14	respect to both. And making sure people understand those
15	rules and are accordingly following the rules is critically
16	important.
17	Q In terms of a grant announcement
18	A Yes.
19	Q once a decision has been made inside an agency
20	to make a grant, whether a press operation is carried out,
21	whether that might include a public event or not is something
22	that might come into play at the agency's press shop?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And perhaps the agency's press shop or their White
25	House ligison might loop in the Office of Political Affairs?

1 Α Yeah. 2 Q Is that fair to say? Yes. I would define that as the small P politics 3 Α you were describing. 4 Whereas the distinction of the Office of Political 5 Q Affairs getting involved on the front end of a grant 6 decision --7 Α Yes. -- on the basis of helping elect a specific 9 candidate is something that wouldn't ordinarily, if ever, 10 11 happen? 12 Α I think that is right. And when you get into the political presentation 13 0 with some of the lists of potentially some of the seats that 14 15 might be in play, that information is communicated to the agencies as more of a larger --16 Α Yes. 17 -- political landscape --18 Q Α 19 Absolutely. 20 Q -- type of discussion? 21 Α No question. Not for the purposes of helping agency officials 22 0 understand where grants ought to be made to? 23

Right. That's correct.

These presentations where you talk about the

24

25

Α

Q

- 1 political landscape, the bigger picture to the President's
- 2 people essentially, providing that information also helps
- 3 these political appointees understand and identify even areas
- of the country where they may do appropriate and legal duties
- 5 in conjunction with their job?
- 6 A No question.
- 7 Q And that is important, too?
- 8 A Absolutely. Very important.
- 9 Q You know, for example, the President in 2001-2002
- 10 did a lot of travel back and forth to Pennsylvania?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And so there are States that are identified as
- target regions for the President to spend a lot of his time
- 14 and focus on?
- 15 A There are. And in some cases, in many cases, if
- 16 you stop and you think about Pennsylvania, for instance, they
- are at most small P politics, but truly issue related. Think
- about it. In the 'O2 cycle you did not have a competitive --
- 19 particularly competitive Governor's race in Pennsylvania.
- 20 You did not have a Senate race in Pennsylvania that was
- occurring. What you did have, though, that was not even
- small P politics, was one, you had a mayor, John Street, who
- was a huge proponent of one of the biggest initiatives the
- 24 President had, which was the faith-based initiative. You had
- in Philadelphia a long history of school reform. And so

there was an amenability to that issue, which the President wanted to talk about. You had a State where the economy was changing in a significant way and that was hit by the recession, so the President could talk about the economic plan, which was a focus. You had a State that had a number of environmental kind of high-tech programs. I mean if you remember, we announced our energy initiative at a place on the Susquehanna River where they were particularly effective at using the hydro power to drive new power.

So think about it, we just talked about energy, faith-based, education, and economics all being issues that in Pennsylvania we could promote to audiences that cared about it, which gets back to my point earlier that what you had in this unique window from 2000 to 2004 was a weird confluence between where the top issues were most focused and the most competitive politically, because you essentially had in 2000 an equally divided country that was not based on regions, but was rather instead based on the issues.

Q And is it fair to say communicating the type of information that you just talked about to some of the political appointees at the agencies would help them understand that, hey, if we have an initiative in the Philadelphia region, and it is in line with something that Mayor Street's doing, maybe that's an opportunity --

A No question.

- 1 Q -- to do some press on it?
- And an opportunity to promote that stuff and make 2 Α that stuff work. And it is based on the notion that in 3 Washington -- you know, a lot of people are so Washington 4 focused. If you want the faith-based initiative to work, it 5 doesn't matter -- obviously, there is communities that matter 6 in Washington. But where does it matter most? Philadelphia, 7 Detroit, San Francisco, whatever the city is, and finding 8 places where there is a susceptibility and amenability to it 9 10 helps folks involved in that initiative do their job better, improve more people's lives, et cetera. 11
 - Q And I think it's also fair to say that, you know, ordinarily someone at a schedule C type of level might not appreciate that there are going to be times when the interests of John Street, mayor of Philadelphia, and the interests of the President of the United States are the same.
- 17 A Very often. Particularly in that case, and in other cases, too.
 - Q And so it's helpful for those folks to understand --
- 21 A No question.

13

14

15

16

19

- Q -- the greater political landscape.
- 23 A No question.
- Q And that was in fact part of what the purpose of your regular -- hopefully regular communications was with

- 1 some of the agency folks.
- 2 A No question.

Q The personnel and staffing of the political appointees throughout the executive branch, what role did OPA play while you were there in 2001, 2002?

A Several different roles. One role we played was to help find people that could serve in the administration.

Remember, we are one of the only offices that is regionally based. We are unique in our relationships with Governors, with Senators, with mayors, with State senators, et cetera.

So we have an ability, simply because of our network, to find the best people that you might not be able to find if you don't have the regional focus and you are focused in Washington. That's one aspect.

Aspect two is looking at potential political hires and signing off on them, making sure that from a background perspective these are people who support the President's agenda and are supportive of the President. That was critically important.

Third, this is a President that cares terribly about -- and I care terribly about -- diversity. And particularly the need to find the most talented women and Hispanics and African Americans was also a big part of it. And if you stop and think about it, this was the most diverse Cabinet in the history of the United States. I am very proud of that. And

- I think we played a small part in the sub-Cabinet part of
- 2 helping find people who could help make sure our
- 3 administration looked like America.
- 4 Q And I think it is even fair to say that there are
- 5 some Democrats in the Cabinet, Secretary Mineta --
- 6 A Absolutely.
- 7 Q -- and others. Director Tenet.
- A Absolutely. And in the sub-Cabinet level as well.
- 9 And we are better because of that. And that is an example of
- 10 I thought where we could add real value.
- 11 Q In going through the ranks of political appointees,
- it did make sense from time to time, whether a specific
- appointee was up for reappointment to a specific slot --
- 14 A Oh, yeah.
- 15 0 -- it would make sense, would it not, to conduct
- 16 that very same analysis --
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q -- with the types of appointees that weren't
- 19 already in a position? And if there was a specific
- individual that was, you know, on record for being, you know,
- a highly partisan Democrat that was not overtly supportive of
- the President, that type of political appointee that was
- 23 appointed from the previous administration might not be an
- 24 ideal fit?
- 25 A No question.

- 1 Q So that's fair to say?
- 2 A No question.
- Q And it was part of the ordinary process to make
 those types of checks and analysis on the folks that were in
 these types of positions?
 - A Absolutely.
- Q And is it fair to say that, you know, if someone was a self-identified as a Democrat, a Clinton supporter, that you know, in fact when it was their time if they had a term position, if that term was up they might logically be not reappointed?
- 12 A Yes.

22

23

24

- Q Is that fair to say? Okay. We talked a little bit about the White House coffees --
- 15 A Yes.
- Q -- and the Lincoln bedroom opportunities in the
 previous administration. Were there any other sort of
 fund-raising initiatives that maybe had been employed
 previously that the President, maybe the Office of Political
 Affairs folks, maybe the RNC folks decided that we want to be
 very careful not to do?
 - A Well, I mean the biggest was the activity on not only Federal property -- I mean we would do an event in the summer for some of the larger donors of the RNC. The President won't do it on his ranch. There is a neighboring

- ranch you can rent for events, which is where we do it. And so he is very cognizant of the need to not even get close to the line on that, and frankly not have his personal space invaded by folks for fund-raising purposes. And so there were a number of -- there was real care given on that issue and on those issues.
 - Q There was a gentleman named Johnny Chung?
- 8 A Yes.

- Q And at one point Mr. Chung was -- had a delegation that he was interested in bringing to the White House. And he had announced to the DNC folks that he was interested in a White House tour, a meeting with Hillary Clinton, the first lady at the time. Johnny Chung wanted and told the DNC that he wanted a lunch at the White House mess.
 - A M-hm.
- Q Johnny Chung told the DNC folks that he also wanted admission to the taping of one of President Clinton's radio addresses. And as it turned out, you know, in large part those different requests were denied. Mr. Chung was -- and this is all part of an L.A. Times article July 27th, 1997 -- Mr. Chung had some back and forth with the DNC folks, with some of the First Lady's folks, and as it turned out he decided to make a contribution of \$50,000.
 - A Yeah.
- Q He allegedly handed that check to one of the First

- 1 Lady's staffers --
- A M-hm.
- ${\tt Q}$ -- and subsequent to that handoff of the check a
- 4 tour was arranged of the White House. There was an
- opportunity for him and his delegation to enjoy the White
- 6 House mess.
- 7 A M-hm.
- 8 Q They had a one-on-one meeting with the First Lady.
- 9 And to go 4 for 4, as they did, they had an opportunity to
- join the radio address audience.
- 11 A M-hm.
- 12 Q Were you familiar with that story?
- 13 A I am not familiar with the story, but I can tell
- 14 you that he would have been 0 for 4 from this
- administration's perspective. We were incredibly careful to
- make sure, one, contributions from foreign nationals were not
- 17 permitted. Two, my understanding is that in the mess no
- 18 foreign nationals can eat. So that's an issue. The thought
- of an audience with the First Lady for any political
- purposes -- I mean she did fund-raisers, but the thought of a
- 21 small audience with her at the White House is -- I won't tell
- you where I would be working if I had suggested that, but
- Nome, Alaska may be too close. And the radio addresses we
- 24 did not have audiences like that for. So I would just tell
- you that would not have happened.

1	Q So to the best of your recollection you don't
2	recall a contributor being afforded the opportunity to sit in
3	on a radio address for a contribution?
4	A Absolutely. Not in a million years. And I will
5	tell you also that we were particularly I mean no one
6	could raise money or every contributor we had a check
7	system to make sure there was no criminal activity, that they
8	weren't foreign nationals, et cetera, and were very careful
9	to make sure in fact that the leadership leadership in the
10	campaign, political leadership and other leadership was
11	consistent with all the rules. So in addition to not wanting
12	to be at White House coffees, not wanting the Lincoln
13	bedroom, we were very cognizant of not wanting foreign
14	nationals to be raising money or deriving benefits from the
15	White House or the campaign.
16	Q Is it also fair to say that there is a legal
17	component to that analysis as well as
18	A Yes.
19	Q an optical component?
20	A Both. Both were very important.
21	Q So there might be a decision that hey, this may be
22	legal, but we don't want to go there because
23	A President Reagan, 41st President, President

Clinton, all had I think regularly entertained donors in the

White House and had donor events in the White House. And we

24

- 1 did not.
- Q Mr. Chung also, I would be remiss if I didn't add,
- 3 he had a very interesting statement that he told the L.A.
- 4 Times. He said, "I see the White House as like a subway.
- 5 You have to put in coins to open the gates." That was sort
- 6 of the way he saw it.
- 7 A Yes.
- Q And to the best of your recollection, do you know
- 9 if any of those types of theories were employed --
- 10 A I certainly hope not.
- 11 Q I want to just point out this Exhibit 5 that the
- committee showed you over the objection of your counsel.
- 13 When we initially received this document from ONDCP, we also
- asked them, hey, guys, do you have any similar types of lists
- 15 that the Drug Czar may have done with other elected
- officials? And as it turns out, they have another memo that
- they produced, written by a gentleman named Evan McLaughlin
- over at ONDCP, that is twice as long and includes events that
- the Drug Czar did with John Street talking about fentanyl in
- 20 Philadelphia. And so I did want to just enhance the record a
- 21 little bit and let you know that a lot of these -- and the
- same, by the way, happened with the Department of
- 23 Transportation and the Department of Commerce. We reached
- out to them and we said, you know, we know the committee has
- asked you for events that may have been done with

- Republicans, but surely the Secretary, Secretary of Commerce
 in one example did public events with Democrats, too. And as
 it turned out, they were happy to provide a list. And you
 know, it is not surprising that the list of public events
 with Democrats wasn't as large --
- 6 A Right.

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q -- as it was with Republicans, but indeed it was a list that was substantial enough, more than one or two types of folks. And so what I wanted to lead into is a lot of the discussions we have had about the surrogate scheduling office --
- 12 A M-hm.
- Q -- and these event lists seem to me not necessarily -- I mean they are called memos and they are called suggested events --
- 16 A M-hm.
 - Q -- but isn't it fair to say that, you know, rather than a memo suggesting event participation, you know, these types of documents may have just been lists of public events that the Cabinet Secretaries, the Drug Czar did with elected officials?
 - Mr. Ross. Again, people can characterize this 2006 document. It is a document that was created long after Ken left the White House. I don't see how he has any basis to really comment on that. You know, he has indicated that they

1	did not really have during his tenure they didn't have
2	contact with the Drug Czar on travel. And so I don't see
3	where he really
4	A That I recall.
5	Mr. Ross that he recalls, that he has a basis to
6	really further characterize other than the document speaking
7	for itself.
8	BY MR. CASTOR:
9	Q Do you have a recollection of whether the Office of
10	Political Affairs, the surrogate scheduling operation kept
11	track of where the Cabinet members were going, public
12	event-wise?
13	A I think that there was an attempt to do that, as I
14	recall, and as I mentioned earlier, I think that often that
15	was there was an attempt to keep track of it, but at least
16	at that time the prime tracker was the Cabinet Affairs
17	Office. And we kind of piggybacked on their information.
18	But as I recall at the time, the process of tracking was not
19	very good and the system of tracking was not very good.
20	Q And did yourself or anyone else in your office have
21	a responsibility for keeping track of this type of
22	A Well, not in my office, but certainly as the
23	surrogate scheduler, Adrian Gray tried to keep track of this

Q But nobody in the Office of Political Affairs was

24

25

stuff.

- 1 sort of the person in charge of tracking?
- 2 A There was not a person in charge of tracking, but a
- 3 regional director would have been certainly encouraged to
- 4 keep track of who has been to his or her region and districts
- 5 in his or her region.
- 6 Q The starting time for the political
- 7 presentations --
- B A Uh-huh.
- Q -- after your tenure at the White House, there was some e-mail traffic that indicated that White House Counsel said that it was better to do these at 5 p.m. Just do you
- Ti Salu tilat it was better to do tilese at 5 p.m. 3ust do you
- have any recollection of anyone instructing your office
- whether this was good to do at lunch or after lunch?
- 14 A Again at different times, they occurred at
- different times. I just remember as a matter of course
- talking to counsel and we would follow their leads. They may
- have said that on some occasions, but we tried to work with
- them to make sure they were comfortable when we were doing it
- 19 and what we were doing.
- Q But it wouldn't surprise you to learn the White
- 21 House Counsel told some folks later on in the Office of
- 22 Political Affairs that 5 o'clock is a good time to have
- these?
- 24 A It would not surprise me either way.
- 25 Q The information communicated to the agency

- officials via the political briefings, is it fair to say that when you gave these presentations for the large part of the presentation it was you providing information to the agency
- 4 officials?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q It was not a roundtable discussion?
- A Right. We would often do questions and answers at the end, but it was almost never a roundtable, it was almost always a presentation.
- Q And so there wasn't a -- it wasn't ordinary and customary for the Director of the Office of Political Affairs or your deputy to engage in these types of political briefings with the agency officials and have a back and forth about the types of official acts that they may go away and pursue?
 - A It was not customary and ordinary for that.
 - Q So the information was communicated to the agency folks, and they would go away and continue with their official business focus?
 - A They would. And they sometimes did questions, but remember, one of the most important things that was ordinarily and customarily told of them was talk to your counsel and follow the rules.
 - Q Talk to their counsel, follow the rules, but not necessarily call the Office of Political Affairs at the White

1 House before you do an official act? No. Again, we were certainly -- before an 2 official act, no, not at all. Before an announcement of an 3 official act. the distinction you made earlier, we were a 4 resource for them to be able to help with respect to 5 announcements. But we were not a -- we were not -- it was 6 not at all required. It was if we could be helpful, we tried 7 to be. 8 So the Office of Political Affairs wasn't involved 9 in the decision-making process for grants and other official 10 11 acts --12 Α We were not. 13 0 -- of the agencies? 14 Α We were not. Is it also fair to say that the Office of Political 15 0 Affairs wasn't involved in the decision about whether to 16 17 characterize --That's correct. 18 -- a grant as an official act or a campaign 19 20 initiative? That's correct. That would be the job of the 21 Α 22 Cabinet Secretary's office, including their counsel. And if a Cabinet Secretary was traveling at a 23 0 public event to announce a policy initiative or a grant and 24

then later on in the evening at a fund-raiser there was

- always a distinction that the official policy-related reasons
- for the trip were separate and apart from the campaign, the
- 3 raising money --
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 Q -- the advocacy for the election or defeat of a
- 6 candidate?
- 7 A That's correct.
- Q And again, just to reiterate, the decisions that
 were made by the agencies at the agency level on location at
 the agencies were not made by folks in the White House Office
- 11 of Political Affairs?
- 12 A Correct.
- Q You might be helpful in explaining the political landscape, explaining to the schedule C's the President's initiatives, giving that background information, but at the end of that presentation you go back to the White House, they go about their official business, and the Office of Political
- 18 Affairs doesn't have a seat at the table --
- 19 A Right.
- Q -- at the various agencies?
- 21 A That's right.
- 22 Mr. <u>Ausbrook.</u> If you don't mind, I just have a couple 23 of quick questions.
- 24 Mr. <u>Mehlman</u>. Sure.

1	BY MR. AUSBROOK:
2	Q Goes back to the e-mail question actually, and
3	something I neglected to ask. Are you familiar with the mail
4	to or letter "D" problems in the Office of the Vice President
5	during the Clinton administration? Or e-mail problems in
6	collecting and storing e-mails?
7	A No.
8	Q GAO issued a reported in late '99 or 2000, sometime
9	around then, reflected that the Office of Vice President Gore
10	had lost thousands of e-mails because of a technical problem
11	You are not familiar with that?
12	A No.
13	Q But the disappearance of thousands of e-mails from
14	the Vice President's office, that would in your judgment be
15	problem with the Presidential Records Act as well?
16	A Potentially, absolutely.
17	Mr. <u>Ausbrook.</u> Okay. That's all I want to ask.
18	Ms. Amerling. I have just a handful of follow-up
19	questions. So I think we could avoid musical chairs. I wil
20	try to speak up and ask them.
21	Mr. <u>Mehlman.</u> No worries.
22	BY MS. AMERLING:
23	Q With respect to the RNC e-mails matter, you talked
24	a little bit earlier about how you received advice on the us

of e-mail accounts from White House Counsel --

1	A M-hm.
2	Q for a briefing or briefings?
3	A Yeah.
4	Q And also through individual conversations?
5	A M-hm.
6	Q Can you describe what advice you got specifically
7	on the presidential act Presidential Records Act
8	requirements as to preservation of e-mails?
9	A Is this something
10	Mr. Ross. In terms of the specifics, and we talked
11	about this before, of the specific counsel's advice, the
12	White House Counsel's office has asked us to preserve that in
13	terms of whether they would claim an attorney-client
14	privilege or not.
15	Ms. Amerling. Let me ask this a little bit differently.
16	I am going to show you an exhibit, a document we will call
17	Exhibit 6. It's a staff memo provided to us from the White
18	House.
19	[Mehlman Exhibit No. 6
20	was marked for identification.]
21	BY MS. AMERLING:
22	Q I will direct your attention to the first page
23	after the cover page, page A-9. This says, "Federal law and
24	EOP policy require the preservation of electronic
25	communications that relate to official business and that are

- sent or received by EOP staff. As a result, you must only 1 2 use the authorized e-mail system for all official electronic communications." Is that consistent, Mr. Mehlman, with your 3 understanding of the recordkeeping requirements that you were 4 obligated to follow? 5 Α Well, this is consistent with my understanding of 6 7 part of the recordkeeping rules that apply to us. 8 0 And that's consistent with what you recall was the 9 advice that you were given --10 Α No. -- by White House Counsel? 11 0 No. I am not -- I don't want to get into the 12 Α 13 advice I was given. 14 Mr. Ross. We are happy -- I am happy to go back and 15 raise with White House Counsel your request to have Mr. 16 Mehlman describe what specific advice he received from the White House Counsel on this. But I feel the need to do that 17 18 before we really get into the specifics of any advice. Ms. Amerling. All right. 19
- BY MS. AMERLING: 20

responsibilities --

- 21 Well, is what I just read to you from this manual 0 consistent with your understanding of your 22
- 24 Α Part of it.

23

25 -- on recordkeeping while you were at the White 0

1 House?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α Part of it. It is my understanding of part of my -- part of our responsibilities. Again, our challenge was we were a hybrid office, a hybrid office that had two issues we had to comply with. One was not using -- and on the next page you see personal usage. There is some of that. more broadly, not using official -- here we are, taxpayers are funding us yet we are a political office. And so there was a balance. And if you -- you had to walk a line between one, on the one hand using official resources for political purposes, even though we are the political office, and on the other hand you had the Presidential Records Act, which my understanding was had exemptions for political and involving reelection. So this characterizes my understanding of generally how some of our work was, but not all of our work by any means. Because of this hybrid nature, and because we were walking a fine line, a tightrope to avoid doing this that was wrong or that that was wrong, my understanding, my recollection is that if you had to err, you erred on the side of not using taxpayer resources for political activity.

Q Let's turn to the last page of this document. It's page G-29.

A M-hm.

Q It says in the second paragraph, "Finally, if you happen to receive an e-mail on a personal e-mail account that

- 1 otherwise qualifies as a presidential record --"
- 2 A Right.
- Q -- "it is your duty to ensure that it is preserved and filed as such by printing it out and saving it or by forwarding it to your White House e-mail account."
- 6 A Right.
- Q Is that instruction consistent with your understanding --
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q -- of your obligations --
- 11 A It is.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 12 Q -- to preserve records --
- 13 A It is. And the key definition is --
- 14 0 -- while at the White House?
 - A I didn't mean to interrupt you, I am sorry. The answer is yes. And the key is what otherwise qualifies as a presidential record? And that is the question that we were constantly forced to wrestle with, and that we are in this position of either having to worry about violating official taxpayer resources for political activity versus dealing with the Records Act, and where there are two clear exemptions. And because I think part of those exemptions, our view was the better way to handle it, if you are not certain, is to avoid using taxpayer resources for official activity. And

frankly, if you think about it, that's consistent with the

experience up here of how things are done, A, and B, if you stop and you think about over the last 20 years, people who have in government service had problems, very often it has been the use of official taxpayer dollars, resources, equipment, personnel, et cetera, for political purposes. And at the State level, at the Federal level there are Members of the Congress and Senate who have had these issues. And so there was a very clear care about that that we had.

Q So is it fair to say that it was your understanding that when you sent or received an e-mail that was official in nature, regardless of whether it was on a White House computer, RNC account, or other type of non-governmental e-mail account, that you had obligations to preserve that record under the Presidential Records Act?

A I think the key question is whether it qualifies as a presidential record.

Q But it was your understanding that you had obligations, if it did qualify as a presidential record, to make sure that those records were preserved?

A To the extent to which it qualified as a presidential record, which my understanding had an exemption in it for both political and also for campaign-related.

Q And you have said a number of times today that it was your tendency, it was your nature to take great pains to make sure you understood what legal requirements --

- 1 A It was.
- Q -- applied to your conduct?
- 3 A Yes.
- Q And what legal requirements applied to the conduct of staff for whom you were responsible?
- 6 A Correct.

- Q And it is fair to say, is it, that you would have had that same approach with respect to understanding the legal requirements that you were expected to comply with with respect to records preservation?
 - Were dealing with essentially two laws that are at some level at tensions. The tension between official taxpayer dollars being used to subsidize what is partisan politics on the one hand versus the Presidential Records Act. And given if you look at the law, if you look at penalties associated with the law, if you look at where the scandals have been, if you look at all of that, and if you look at the two exemptions in the Presidential Records Act for political and for campaign, you have to balance all those things out. And my understanding today, and then, of the approach to take is that the duty is stronger with respect to the presidential -- avoiding taxpayer dollars for political than the other.
 - Q I am not clear on how the two laws that you are talking about are in tension.

1	A Well, on the one hand if you are go ahead. I am
2	sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt you.
3	Q If you happen to be using equipment provided by a
4	political entity when you receive or send an official
5	communication, aren't you able to both meet the requirements
6	of the Presidential Records Act with respect to preserving
7	that communication and also complying with the requirements
8	about use of official resources?
9	A Physically, yes. But the question you have to ask
10	yourself is, is what I am doing in nature political, in which
11	case the Records Act does not apply, and the burden is more
12	on the not using taxpayer dollars for political activity.
13	Q Well, if you determine it doesn't apply then how
14	are the two in tension?
15	A Well, that's the question. You have to determine
16	whether it applies in each particular case. And that's the
17	issue that I think we were dealing with.
18	Q But it is fair to say you tried to obtain a very
19	clear understanding of what your obligations were with
20	respect to recordkeeping purposes?
21	A It is fair to say I tried to obtain an
22	understanding of what our obligations were in an area where I
23	felt like and still feel like there is tremendous tension in
24	a hybrid office, and informed by my experience on the Hill,

and my experience as a lawyer in looking at where there have

- been mistakes that have been made before that are overwhelmingly, in my opinion, if you look historically, have been on the side of using taxpayer dollars for political activity.
 - Q And I believe that you said that you received or sent e-mails over your RNC account and RNC BlackBerry that were official in nature?

- A I recall doing that in some -- well, I recall doing in some cases, when I determined that there were e-mails that qualified as a presidential record, e-mailing it to my official account or putting it in a place to be saved. I recalled doing that on occasion.
- Q And so given your understanding of the requirements under the Presidential Records Act and your experiences as a member of the White House staff in the use of RNC e-mail accounts, when you approved deletion policies at the Bush-Cheney campaign and then when you moved over to the RNC, didn't you have concerns that those policies would result in the deletion of official White House records?
- A I did not because of three things. Number one, my own experience at the White House with respect to how these two rules applied. Number one. Number two, the fact that individuals at the White House have ways to save things should they decide that it is -- qualifies as a presidential record. That's the second basis. And number three, the duty

- is on the individual, not on some other individual.
 - Q I don't understand that third reason.

- A The duty does not -- the duty does not apply to AOL. The duty applies to the individual that works at the White House. The duty does not apply -- it is not the job -- if in fact something qualified as a presidential record that ought to be preserved, the duty doesn't go to the RNC Chairman or the Bush-Cheney campaign manager, it goes to the person that works at the White House, who has a number of ways he or she can save it if they in fact believe that it qualifies as a presidential record.
 - Q And as a person who had formerly worked at the White House, who had an understanding of the obligations on White House staff, you didn't feel --
 - A No, because I felt that --
- Q -- compelled to alert them about the process?

A I believe that I have been able to follow the rules in an appropriate way. I had no notion of what the deletion policy was or was not. I wasn't counting on the RNC's system to save e-mails for me at all. When I felt like it was appropriate for me to send it to the system I did, based on my understanding. So it was entirely consistent, when I was the chairman of the committee or the Bush-Cheney campaign manager to believe that similarly people could do it as they believe was appropriate given that duty.

1	Q And you believe you complied with
2	A Absolutely.
3	Q the recordkeeping requirements
4	A I do.
5	Q with respect to the official e-mails you
6	received and sent over your RNC account because you forwarded
7	those e-mails to your official account?
8	A I believe that when appropriate that's what I did.
9	Q Do you believe that you did that with respect to
10	every official e-mail that you sent or received on your RNC
11	account?
12	A I don't know the answer to that question. Again, I
13	certainly would have strived to do it for official e-mails
14	that are subject to the presidential recordkeeping
15	requirement. Did I not on some occasions? Perhaps. I don't
16	know the answer to that question.
17	Q Do you know whether your staff did that with every
18	official e-mail that they sent or received?
19	A I don't know. I thought it was important that
20	everyone get briefed, which they did. And then it was up to
21	the individual to follow the rules, consistent with their
22	duty and the briefing that they had.
23	Q Did you have any concern that people might get
24	sloppy with the practice of forwarding each e-mail from their

RNC account to their official account?

A I did not spend I spent a lot of time trying to
figure out what our approach was, trying to make sure people
understood it. I did not beyond that spend massive amounts
of time focused on this particular duty. I was focused on
all the duties we had. And the one I was most worried about,
quite honestly, was the things that the previous past
previously people had gotten in lots of trouble for.

Q You also mentioned earlier that when you were with the Bush-Cheney campaign there was an e-mail preservation policy that was put in place with respect to the leak investigation?

A M-hm.

Q Did the Bush-Cheney campaign discuss that preservation policy with the White House?

A That's not something I worked out. My counsel worked that out with the White House, and also another counsel talked to Mr. Fitzgerald's campaign. So I can't tell you who he may or may not have talked to.

Q But you believe that your counsel did talk to --

A I can't answer. Because I had been a fact witness to appear before the special prosecutor, and because I often was asked about this on television, which you may remember, I thought it was very important that I not -- that I focus entirely on information I had in the public and that was publicly out there. And so I intentionally said to people I

- trusted, who were incredibly cautious people, and smart
- people, and conservative when it comes to the law, I want a
- 3 system that is entirely appropriate. And I have confidence
- 4 that they kept it, so I did not get into the specifics.
- 5 Because I thought it would be inappropriate for and could
- 6 create a false impression in terms of the system of justice
- 7 here.
- 8 Q Okay. I have a couple of follow-up questions on
- 9 the briefings issue.
- 10 A Okay.
- 11 Mr. <u>Ausbrook.</u> Do you mind if I ask one follow-up
- 12 question to something you asked since it's on the subject?
- 13 Ms. Amerling. Sure.
- 14 Mr. <u>Ausbrook</u>. It won't take me long.
- BY MR. AUSBROOK:
- 16 Q The Bush-Cheney preservation policy for the
- 17 Fitzgerald investigation --
- 18 A M-hm.
- 20 not -- had nothing to do with Presidential Record Act issues?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q It had to do with the fact that individuals who had
- 23 sent e-mails to the Bush-Cheney campaign were witnesses or --
- 24 A Right.
- 25 Q -- in the investigation?

- 1 A Were subject to being investigated.
- 2 Q So nobody had any sense that the reason that you
- 3 need to look at these was because --
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q -- they might have been sent --
- 6 A No, no, no.
- 7 Q -- improperly to the Bush-Cheney campaign?
- 8 A This is because of the fact that we had -- Al
- 9 Gonzales had set up at the White House a system to preserve
- 10 records. And since some of the people that were being
- investigated had our e-mails, too, I wanted to make sure that
- we were also complying.
- 13 Mr. <u>Ausbrook.</u> Okay. That's all.
- 14 BY MS. AMERLING:
- 15 Q Was Mr. Rove aware that you were suggesting travel
- to agency officials?
- 17 A I think he was.
- 18 Q And why do you think that?
- 19 A Because I think that generally that was something
- we had generally had conversations about.
- Q Do you recall any of those conversations?
- A Not with specificity. But we talked about, and
- certainly he knew what we -- he knew what we were doing in
- the office and approved of it. When I say approved of it, I
- 25 mean was comfortable with it. But I didn't say here is what

- 1 we are showing them here. Here is what we are showing them
- 2 But certainly he was someone who absolutely, as I
- recall, knew we were doing it and was comfortable with it, as 3
- were others. 4

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

- And was Mr. Rove aware that you were making 5 0 suggestions about announcements to agency officials? 6
- 7 Α Yeah, I think so.
- For the same reasons you just described with 8 respect to my first question? 9
- Α I think so. 10
- 11 Q What about Mr. Card? Was he aware that you were 12 making suggestions on travel to agency officials?
 - I think so. I recall at some point a meeting that we had with -- that both Mr. Card and I were invited to speak So -- and again, that is a very murky kind of recollection, but I recall him being at one of those where we were both at. I think he stayed when I spoke.
 - You are talking about a meeting where --
- One of the meetings, yeah. So I think he was, but Α 20 I can't -- I can't for sure say he was. Mr. Rove I believe strongly was, but again I can't say for sure there either.
 - Do you believe that Mr. Card was aware that you were making suggestions about announcements to agency officials?
- Using his definition, Mr. Castor's definition of 25 Α

- announcements, yes, I believe that's the case. But that's my
 own belief. That's not based upon something he told me.

 What was your understanding of whether the Hatch
 - Q What was your understanding of whether the Hatch Act applied to your activities?
- A My understanding was the Hatch Act does not apply to my activities.
 - Q Not at all?

- A My understanding was that -- again, if the Hatch Act applied -- there are certain things that the law prohibits you from doing on a government property. You don't want to use government resources for political activity, but the Hatch Act doesn't apply to that. This is the tension I was getting at earlier. What we did was consistent, my understanding is, with the Hatch Act. At the same time, there are a lot of rules that constrain what you do, which makes the job both, frankly, challenging. And that's -- and I am confident we were consistent with the Hatch Act.
- Ms. <u>Amerling.</u> I don't have any further questions.
- 19 Mr. <u>Castor</u>. I just have one follow-up.
- 20 BY MS. CASTOR:
- Q If you get an official e-mail on your RNC
 BlackBerry, you know, about the President's travel or the
 President's decision-making on a policy initiative, it is
 going to be -- if it comes from another person at the White
 House, it's going to be in the EOP system to begin with.

- 1 A Yes, it will.
- Q So if we are going to meet the unhappy result of having a communication, you know, escape and not be part of the legacy of the President, it requires two people to be on RNC e-mail accounts, doesn't it?

A It does.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q So you know, for the most part is it fair to say if you are on your RNC BlackBerry with another person in the Office of Political Affairs on their RNC BlackBerry after hours, isn't it fair to say that the vast majority of the communications you are having are political in nature?
- A That would be -- I think that's true. And I think the vast majority of communications, as I indicated before, that we have in the Office of Political Affairs are political in nature. The vast majority.
- Q Or personal in nature. If you were heading off with the President in the morning and someone, one of the folks you were traveling with in your department, you might exchange some BlackBerry messages --
 - A Absolutely.
- Q -- that wouldn't be part of the Presidential Records Act?
- 23 A Absolutely right. Absolutely right.
- Q So it is fair to say that, you know, although both people, you know, at the White House, both White House

```
staffers are supposed to keep their e-mails and, you know, if
1
       you inadvertently, you know, failed to remember to forward it
2
       to your EOP account and you failed to print it out and put it
3
        in your files, it is very likely that if it was official
4
        business there is another EOP e-mail --
5
             Α
                  I think that's right.
6
                  -- that captured it?
             0
7
                  I think that's right.
             Α
8
                  And the one other thing I would just point out is
9
             0
        whether it's political in nature or official or
10
        policy-related, you know, isn't as easy as a yes or no --
11
12
             Α
                  Right.
                  -- one or zero?
13
             0
                  It isn't. And that is why my understanding, based
14
        on my understanding of the rules, based upon my experience up
15
        here, and based on the last 20 years of political scandal,
16
        was if you weren't sure, the better place to make a mistake
17
        was not on the official side, but on the political side.
18
                  And it is fair to say because it isn't as easy as
19
             0
```

Q And it is fair to say because it isn't as easy as yes or no, one or zero, that there could be Democrats on Capitol Hill staff that disagree?

A There could.

Q And that is fairly -- that is a fairly likely result?

A Absolutely.

20

21

22

23

24

1	Mr. <u>Castor.</u> I think that is it. Do you have anything?
2	Ms. <u>Amerling.</u> Thank you.
3	BY MR. LEVISS:
4	Q Just one question on this. From your understanding
5	of the Hatch Act
6	A Yeah.
7	Q did you have do any official business as the
8	Director of Political Affairs?
9	A I didn't have to, no.
10	Q You could do all political, however you define
11	political?
12	A Well, I couldn't however I define political. I
13	couldn't do fund-raising. I couldn't make telephone calls
14	out of the White House to solicit money. I wouldn't have
15	done that.
16	Q Okay. But political campaign-related work?
17	A Campaign one could if one wanted to. I tried to do
18	more than that, but you could have, yeah.
19	Q Okay. Did that apply to the entire Office of
20	Political Affairs in your view?
21	A If one wanted to, one could have one legally
22	could have, in the Office of Political Affairs, focused
23	entirely on simply promoting, helping with the President's
24	allies. That would have been, in my opinion, been a less
25	effective Office of Political Affairs.

1	Q And that would be consistent with the Hatch Act?
2	A That is my understanding.
3	Q Okay. Thanks.
4	Ms. Amerling. Thank you very much for being with us
5	today.
6	Mr. Mehlman. Thank you for everyone's time today.
7	[Whereupon, at 3:50 p.m., the interview was concluded.]
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Certificate of Deponent/Interviewee
2	
3	
4	I have read the foregoing pages, which contain the
5	correct transcript of the answers made by me to the questions
6	therein recorded.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	Witness Name
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	